

Paper: “Children in Street Situations, Their Determinants, Survival and Strategies. Case of the City of Bujumbura”

Submitted: 18 December 2023

Accepted: 18 January 2024

Published: 31 January 2024

Corresponding Author: Gahungu Ladislas

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n5p144

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Fatbardha Osmanaga
Luigj Gurakuqi University, Albania

Reviewer 2: Ruth Adunola Aderanti
Babcock University, Nigeria

Reviewer 3: Salwa Alinat
Open University, Israel

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer I:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

I think that the abstract needs to be reconceptualized.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

No. The paper lacks the main and objectives. They are reflected only in the Introduction. The author did not explain how the data was processed.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes.

There are not given recommendations.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The abstract should be improved.
The purpose and the objectives of the paper need to be clarified.
There are not recommendations.

Reviewer P:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

This title
"Children in street situations and their determinants in burundi : survival strategies and violence. Case of the city of bujumbura.
Determinants of Children in-street Situations" can be reframed "Determinants of street children situations in Bunjumbura, Burundi: survival strategies and violence"

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract need to be re-written to include the results. This portion of the abstract can feature in the body of the paper but should be removed from the abstract: "When the first socialization institutions fail, children are trained to live on the streets and settle there permanently. In order to cope, the child develops survival mechanisms associated with violence. Exposed to all forms of violence, victimized children become violent to survive, and the cycle of violence is fueled. "

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes are on pages 4,7,9 and 11 as marked in the paper.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes the methods are clearly explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

1. Label/title of a chart is usually written under the chart, I therefore suggest that all the titles of the charts come under the chart.
2. The analysis in figure 2 chart should be described under the chart.
3. The discussion of the finding should be sequential based on the result. I there suggest that the discussion about personal and interpersonal come before gender since the chart on gender is the last "figure 3"

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is based on the findings which is accurate, however there is no recommendation

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The following authors cited in the paper as highlighted are not referenced:

Lévesque (2003, p.84),

Tshikala, (2000)

Azia, (2012)

Margaret (1999) (surname to be used instead of first name)

Odgers & al, 2008

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

see the comments in the work.

Reviewer X:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Not clear, Deleted and suggested the following
Children in street situations, their determinants, survival and strategies; Case of the
city of Bujumbura.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes, the abstract is okay.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, there are a few grammatical errors and the in text citation needs an overhauling.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, its somehow clear.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

It requires reorganization. Some paragraphs are just set without considering where the content with similar facts ends and another begins.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes it is supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes the final reference is okay.

But the in-text citation is very problematic.

The authors need to go through each citation and ensure it follows APA version 7 guidelines.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This paper is rich with variable content for future researchers in the area of concern. The suggested revisions under the track changes format are doable.

Reviewer Z:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is good and exciting. The title reflects the main topic raised in the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is good. It summarizes the main points in the article, for example, the presentation of the topic, main conclusions, and methodology.
I would suggest that after improving the summary of the article, add more insights and conclusions to the abstract.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

For me, the text was clear and flowing.
At the same time, I think the text needs linguistic editing.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes. The methodological part is precise and detailed. I would recommend adding more information about the internal division of the interviewed children, for example, age and gender.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Most of the article is written in a matter-of-fact and focused manner.
However, there was a lack of information on the theoretical background, why this theory was chosen, and its advantages compared to other approaches in the field.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The summary, in my opinion, should also be more profound and present explanations for the research results based on the theory chosen as a framework for the research.
I would recommend adding a comparative dimension to other places where the issue of street children has been examined. What distinguishes the test case mentioned in the article from other cases worldwide?

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

An up-to-date and rich list of sources.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The article is good and interesting, based on important research.
It is recommended to improve it by thickening the theoretical background and
summary.
