Paper: **"Highlighting Water Stress in Apple Seedlings Using HSI Texture with Machine Learning Technique"**

Corresponding Author: Yanying An

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Paulin Ouoba
Université Nazi Boni, Burkina Faso

Reviewer 2: Alhassane Zaré
University Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes the study methods are explained very well to understand the study. See in the text a few comment.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes the boody is clear

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer F:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the article would be more relevant if it was rephrased as follows: Highlighting water stress in apple seedlings using HSI texture with machine learning technique. Just to put Highlighting instead of clarification

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract sums up the work done

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes were found in the article. This shows the authors proof read their paper prior to submission. They just need to make the necessary corrections

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are clearly presented

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are comprehensive and appropriate but author must harmonise the writting of journals

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

You've done a great job. This work could help to improve knowledge on effect of water stress in apple seedlings using new perfect methodology. Just make the indicated corrections to make your paper complete. Well done!