

Paper: “Les Eaux Stagnantes Constituent Une des Occasions d’Exposition au Plomb et aux Coliformes à Kinshasa, République Démocratique du Congo”

Submitted: 25 July 2023

Accepted: 13 February 2024

Published: 29 February 2024

Corresponding Author: Kalum Muray

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n6p56

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ousmane Sylla

Direction Générale de la Santé de l'Hygiène Publique/ Sous-Direction Santé de la Reproduction, Bamako/Mali

Reviewer 2: Akmel Meless Simeon

Ouattara University, Ivory Coast

Reviewer 3: Jean-Michel-Vianney Beugre

Université Félix Houphouet Boigny, Cote d'Ivoire

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the paper.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract isn't clear. It's very difficult to find objects, methods, and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are many grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

There are a lot of copy-pasting in the body of the paper

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is few accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is few comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

We have the impression that you have taken the document from a memoir without further improvement to publish. I suggest you re-read the courses on scientific writing, how to write an article.

Reviewer J:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The content of the manuscript is different from the title. It would therefore be necessary to revise the title as suggested in the review.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The summary should begin with a brief context and the objective of the study. Then describe in a few lines the methodology (taking into account my observations and orientations). Finally, a brief conclusion and outlook.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Grammar and spelling are acceptable. Nevertheless, there is room for improvement.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Some of the material and methods need to be reviewed and/or improved. For a good understanding of the work, additional efforts must be made.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the text needs to be improved. Eliminate unnecessary content. Minor errors have also been noted.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Take into account the different observations in order to conclude the present manuscript.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Given the importance of the subject, I suggest that a more thorough bibliographical review be carried out.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer L:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre est clair. Le sujet est original

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Malheureusement le résumé est mal présenté. L'on ne voit pas l'objectif, la méthodologie, les résultats et la conclusion qui sont mis en évidence. Résumé à reprendre., voir observations sur l'article

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Il existe des problèmes de forme (style, grammaire), voir observations sur l'article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthodologie est aussi à revoir. L'impétrant doit d'abord présenter le site de l'étude. Ensuite, exposer la collecte et l'analyse des données.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le contenu du texte n'est pas bien présenté. Il nécessite un toilettage, voire une

réorganisation totale. Les résultats ne sont pas mis en évidence, en lien avec les objectifs. Besoin de de clarté. Text trop long (environ 28 pages au lieu de 12 ou 15 pages).

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Conclusion assez bien présentée

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Les références sont mal présentées. A reprendre

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le sujet est intéressant. Des idées pertinentes. Toutefois, le travail dans son ensemble est mal présenté. Une réorganisation de l'ensemble du travail en lien avec les observations s'impose.

Reviewer O:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

A mon avis le contenu ne montre pas clairement en quoi le plomb et les coliformes des eaux stagnantes constituent une menace pour la population. Les données pouvaient montrer par exemple les distances entre les lieux d'habitations et les eaux stagnantes ou l'environnement immédiat des populations

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

oui mais doit être amélioré

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

oui mais cela n'a pas d'impact sur sa compréhension

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

oui

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

les erreurs ne sont de nature à entacher la clarté du texte

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

oui

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

oui

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

A mon avis ;cet article peut être accepté mais que l'auteur essaie de démontrer la menace due aux eaux stagnantes pour la conformité étroite avec le titre du document
