

**Paper: “Stakeholders’ Perceptions of Seismic Risk and Adaptive Capacity to Earthquake: The Case of Anse-à-Veau (Haiti)”**

**Submitted: 06 November 2023**

**Accepted: 01 March 2024**

**Published: 31 March 2024**

Corresponding Author: Garry Jourdan

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n8p108](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n8p108)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Napoleon Kurantin

Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration

Reviewer 2: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer S:

Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The first letter of each word in the title should be capitalized.

Stakeholder's Perceptions of Seismic Risk and Adaptive Capacity to Earthquake: The Case of Anse-a-Veau (Haiti)

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract clearly presents the goal of the study. Apart from employing face-to-face interviews for data collection, unfortunately, it failed to point out the exact methodology and/or approach, and relative method that was applied. It presented the results of the study: Surprisingly, earthquake unpredictability was seen as a barrier but also as a motivation for preparedness.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are very few grammatical errors that have been highlighted in the callouts.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The article presented a semi-structured interview as the tool for data collection. Moreover, it presented thematic analysis as the means by which the data from the field is analyzed. However, the study failed to present the exact methodology and method by which the research was conducted. Since it applied semi-structured interview and thematic analysis, it is suggested the study apply qualitative methodology and a case study as the method as part of the research design.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

With the exception of the errors previously pointed out, the body of the paper is clear.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion arrived at is accurate and is supported by the content relative to the goal of the study: The objective of this study was to explore how stakeholders' perceptions of seismic risk and adaptive capacity can influence their own adaptive capacity and the vulnerability of local populations to earthquakes.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The list of reference is comprehensive and appropriate.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Please include the methodology and exact method applied as part of the research design.

-----