

Paper: “The Determinants of Success in the Implementation of Information Technology in Moroccan Companies: Exploratory Case Study”

Submitted: 07 March 2024

Accepted: 19 March 2024

Published: 31 March 2024

Corresponding Author: Mohamed Alami

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n7p34](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n7p34)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Aymen Alqaradaghy
Komar University of Science and Technology, Iraq

Reviewer 2: Lee Alubala
African Nazarene University, Kenya

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is well aligned with the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The objectives, methodology and results of the study are articulated.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes. However the tense in the introduction is future and considering this is work that has already been done it should be past.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

No. The list of references contains sources that have not been cited in the text.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

In the conclusion, consider bringing out the meaning of the findings in relation to the objectives.

Reviewer D:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The Title is aligned with the content

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract covers all the main points

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I did not find a grammatical errors.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology OR the way of analyzing the data is acceptable, however An important issue that I found is the questions and those samples who responded to the questions. According to the answers of questions, I did not understand how many samples are participated in the interview? I mean some parts need to be clarified more. There are several samples. Those who answered are only 3 or 4 persons? What about other samples including staff or employees in the operational level?

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is acceptable

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Conclusion needs an update after updating methodology.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

References needs an update. The majority of references are old. The author needs to add new references starting from 2015 at least in order to support his paper and enhance its scientific discussion and literatures.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dear author,

kindly, follow the requirements to fulfill gaps.

First, review the methodology and update it to be understandable in regard of samples and how many participants are there especially who answers the questions. Do all respondents answered questions? OR only Managers or deads of departments?

Second, add new references to enhance your discussions and literatures to support your study. The majority of the references are old.

Kind regards,
