

**Paper: “Recherche Collaborative Entre le Canada et la République Démocratique du Congo. Sages-Femmes et l'Aspiration IntraUtérine Manuelle suite aux Avortements Incomplets dans la Ville de Kinshasa”**

**Submitted: 04 March 2024**

**Accepted: 01 April 2024**

**Published: 30 April 2024**

Corresponding Author: Kirsty Bourret

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n12p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdoulaye Condé  
Hôpital National Ignace Deen, Guinea

Reviewer 2: Mballo Ibrahima  
Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD), Dakar, Sénégal

-----  
Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is acceptable and refers to the content of the paper.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

yes, the objectives, the method and the results are good

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Yes, revise the style of writing and some turns of phrase

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes, the method is clear, only too long for the reader

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body text is good. Check table titles

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Yes, it corresponds to the content of the text submitted

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Yes, very up-to-date.

Just number the references

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Revoir la méthodologie car le texte est long pour une meilleure compréhension.  
Intégrer toutes les observations pour la qualité du papier.