

**Paper: “Expansion des Agroforêts à Cacaoyers et Afforestation des Savanes sur le Confluent Mbam-Sanaga au Centre Cameroun”**

**Submitted: 25 January 2024**

**Accepted: 04 April 2024**

**Published: 30 April 2024**

Corresponding Author: Mopi Touoyem Fabrice

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n11p86](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n11p86)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>Expansion des agroforêts à cacaoyers et afforestation des savanes sur le confluent Mbam-Sanaga au Centre Cameroun</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>0213/24</b>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: no	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: no	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2</b>

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Le titre est clair mais le contenu doit être revu et adapté au titre.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Texte à relire et à corriger</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Méthodologie acceptable</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Travail à restructurer.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Se référer à la note aux auteurs.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Un travail de reorganization de l'argumentaire est à faire dans cet article qui est très original.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 19/03/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/04/2024
Manuscript Title: Expansion des agroforêts à cacaoyers et afforestation des savanes sur le confluent Mbam-Sanaga au Centre Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0213/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Non	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Oui	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Oui	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Le titre est clair et il est en corrélation avec le contenu du texte</i>	

<b>2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Le résumé relate succinctement les différentes parties du document</i>	
<b>3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Le document contient des coquilles que l'auteur devra corriger</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>L'auteur du manuscrit a clairement expliqué les méthodes employés pour obtenir les différents résultats</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Les différents résultats sont bien présentés avec tous les détails possibles rendant compréhensibles lesdits résultats</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Les conclusions ou le résumé sont corrects et prennent en compte le contenu du manuscrit</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Les références sont récentes et d'actualités mais, elles ont été écrites avec quelques erreurs au niveau de la forme, nous recommandons une harmonisation de la part de l'auteur.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

La thématique traitée par l'auteur est très pertinente et d'actualité. Toutefois, nous recommandons à l'auteur de prendre en compte toutes nos remarques pour améliorer la qualité du document. Nous signalons que les différentes observations n'enlèvent en rien la qualité du manuscrit. Il peut être publié après intégration des corrections.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**