

Paper: “Evaluation of Free Cooling Technique at the Telecom Cell Site in Ghana”

Submitted: 07 May 2024

Accepted: 07 June 2024

Published: 30 June 2024

Corresponding Author: Kojo Boakye

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p23](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p23)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Satish Kumar
Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Title needs modification (suggested title is mentioned in the attachment)
Appropriate title should also contain the location where the study has been carried out.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract should be reworked stating the objective. Do not write stories in the abstract. It contains methods; and the results are to be included in the abstract

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Errors are only a few related to use of framing language used in research articles

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Methods are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Results have been clearly stated in this article

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion stated has to be more specific with supportive statements obtained from the results

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

references are adequate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors must be specific about the abstract and use research language in formulating sentences. analysis and findings have to be a separate section in the study. Article appears good.

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The conclusion is clear and includes the results of the article

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

1. The English language has many errors. Many sentences must be corrected in order for them to have meaningful meaning
2. Most of the abbreviations are not defined , such as TX, FG,... etc

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

It is better to rephrase it briefly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The text of the article contains many errors. It's not arranged . There is ambiguity in some sentences and abbreviations need to be rephrased. Figures should be included in a clear and understandable way. Tables should be rephrased in an easy and understandable way.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is clear

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Some references are not in the references list
references must be rewritten carefully

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
