

Paper: “Étude des propriétés physico-chimiques et microbiologiques d'un biofertilisant élaboré à partir d'un mélange de résidus de récolte, d'un consortium bactérien et de roche phosphatée”

Submitted: 17 April 2024

Accepted: 12 June 2024

Published: 30 June 2024

Corresponding Author: Affi Jeanne Bongoua-Devisme

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p148](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p148)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: H. Banga-Mboko
Université Marien Ngouabi, Brazzaville Congo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 22-05-2024	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Étude des propriétés physico-chimiques et microbiologiques d'un biofertilisant élaboré à partir de résidus de récolte, d'un consortium bactérien et de roche phosphatée	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 30, 5-5-2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: NO	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(the title is original and adequate to the content of paper)</i>	

2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>(in fact, very clear)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(few grammatical errors in the paper)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(very cleary)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(The results are clear, but there are too many to make the manuscript easy to understand, so we could limit ourselves to two or three results.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(in fact)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(comprehensive and appropriate)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The results are clear, but there are too many to make the manuscript easy to understand, so we could limit ourselves to two or three results.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Professeur Henri BANGA-MBOKO	
University/Country: Congo Brazzaville	
Date Manuscript Received: June 3rd	Date Review Report Submitted: June 7th
Manuscript Title: Étude des propriétés physico-chimiques et microbiologiques d'un biofertilisant élaboré à partir de résidus de récolte, d'un consortium bactérien et de roche phosphatée	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0505/2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
------------------	----------------------

	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Insérer le mot mélange (cf manuscrit)	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3,5
Réduire la phrase introductive (cf manuscrit). Les mots clés doivent être absents du titre.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3,5
Beaucoup d'erreurs dans les citations (voir manuscrit)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3,5
Tous les verbes doivent être conjugués au passé composé	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
Les verbes seront conjugués au passé composé	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3,5
Ajouter les perspectives	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
Se conformer aux instructions du journal. Uniformiser l'écriture des références. Vérifier que toutes les références de la section DISCUSSION sont préalablement citées en amont c'est-à-dire introduction, matériel et méthodes.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Poursuivre cette recherche par une étude économique.

Les autres annotations sont insérées dans le manuscrit. Prière d'en tenir compte.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: