

**Paper: “Utilisation régulière du préservatif par les couples sérodiscordants au VIH au Bénin”**

**Submitted: 10 March 2024**

**Accepted: 10 May 2024**

**Published: 30 June 2024**

Corresponding Author: Ignace Tokpanoude

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p256](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p256)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Christian Ngomenzey  
Université de Yaoundé II SOA

Reviewer 2: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes, the title is clear and adequate to the content of the article.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract is clear but very long. The method of data analysis are not indicated for qualitatives or/and quantitatives data.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

No many grammatical errors

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the paper is clear.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion is supported by the content.

This phrase "Ainsi, l'utilisation régulière du préservatif, pourrait s'accompagner de tensions voire entrainer une séparation et est délaissée au détriment des recommandations sanitaires." is out of place.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The list is comprehensive but some reference in the text don't have the dates.

Sometimes, the authors begin by the firstname of author quoted sometimes, by the lastname of the author quoted.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----

-----  
Reviewer C:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

oui

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

l'organisation du résumé est revoir  
pour la méthodologie que l'accent soit mis sur la méthode d'analyse des données

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

non

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Non pas vraiment , l'accent doit être mis sur la méthode d'analyse des données utilisée

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

oui

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

oui mais les recommandation doivent être reformuler en utilisant les verbes d'actions

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

oui

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

le résumé doit contenir le résumé de toutes les parties de l'article de façon succincte

-----