

Paper: “Dynamique spatio-temporelle des écosystèmes de la Réserve de Biosphère de Gadabédji dans la zone Nord Sahélienne au centre du Niger”

Submitted: 20 May 2024

Accepted: 25 June 2024

Published: 30 June 2024

Corresponding Author: Abdoulaye Abdou Siradji

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n18p310

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Felix Koubouana
Université Marien Ngouabi, Brazzaville

Reviewer 2: Ahy Nirindrainiarivony
Institut d’Enseignement Supérieur de Menabe, Madagascar

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: KOUBOUANA Félix	
University/Country: Université Marien Ngouabi,Brazzaville	
Date Manuscript Received: 7 juin 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 14-06-2024
Manuscript Title: Dynamique spatio-temporelle des écosystèmes de la Réserve de Biosphère de Gadabédji dans la zone Nord Sahélienne au centre du Niger	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La problématique, le contexte et les objectifs de l'étude sont bien présentés. Les résultats correspondent aux objectifs fixes. On pourrait améliorer la visibilité des résultats en regroupant les différents éléments de la figure 6 en un seul graphique en histogramme qui, à mon avis, met mieux en relief des résultats des différentes classes et leur évolution dans le temps. Il existe encore quelques fautes d'orthographe à corriger. Les corrections sont présentées en rouge.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date 11/06/2024	Manuscript Received:	Date 23/06/2024	Review	Report	Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Dynamique spatio-temporelle des écosystèmes de la Réserve de Biosphère de Gadabédji dans la zone Nord Sahélienne au centre du Niger					
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0613/24					
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No					
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes					
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes					

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
Le titre est en adéquation avec le contenu du manuscrit	

2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
Le résumé est bien structuré	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Ce manuscrit contient peu de faute grammaticale	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
La méthodologie est bien expliquée	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
Les résultats sont bien présentés	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
La conclusion et le résumé sont bien présentés et correspondent au contenu	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
La partie référence reste à numérotter et à unifomiser	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

En général, votre manuscrit est bien présenté et structure, mais vous devez considérer les commentaires pour l'améliorer.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: