Paper: **"Integrating Artificial Intelligence in a Morphology Course - An Analytical Study of University Students' Perspective"**

Corresponding Author: Nawal Ayoub

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: *John Pahoni Stevens*	
University/Country: *Eastern Technical University of Sierra Leone/ Sierra Leone-West Africa*	
Date Manuscript Received: *11/05/2024*	Date Review Report Submitted: *14/05/2024*
Manuscript Title*: Integrating Artificial Intelligence in a Morphology Course - An Analytical Study from University Students' Perspectives*	
ESJ Manuscript Number: *0544/24*	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: *Yes*	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: *Yes* You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: *Yes*	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
It should be an analytical study of university students` perspective	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	**4**
Study objects and summary not clearly stated: abstract too wordy (expressed ideas repeated)	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**
In the statement of the problem, it says that there is a lack of internet. So how can AI work without internet? There are also minor grammatical errors	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**5**
The methods clearly state how the respondents were chosen and the instrumentation done	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Thanks so very well for the originality of the study, it is an glide in the field of Linguistics. Please ensure that the few corrections are done (the repetitions in the abstract, the statement of the problem –lack of internet and LCD should be captured by both the discussion and the recommendations).

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The above corrections can be done easily so that the paper can be published. I guarantee it is a very good write-up

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 14-5-2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 21-5-2024
Manuscript Title: **Integrating Artificial Intelligence in a Morphology Course - An Analytical Study from University Students' Perspectives**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0544/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: *Yes* You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: *Yes*	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	
The title of the article, "Integrating Artificial Intelligence in a Morphology Course - An Analytical Study from University Students' Perspectives," is straightforward and appropriate to its content. The title appropriately describes the study's major objective, which is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into a morphology	

course. It also states that the study is undertaken from the standpoint of university students. This title successfully communicates the subject content and scope of the paper, giving readers a clear picture of what to expect from the study.	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	
Yes, the abstract of the document describes the objects, methods, and results. *Objects: As indicated in the abstract, this paper's objective is to ascertain how English as a Foreign Language (EFL) students feel about artificial intelligence (AI) being used in a morphology course at a Lebanese university.* *The subjects of the study are EFL students and their thoughts on AI integration. Methods: According to the abstract, the study used a mixed-method approach with convenient participant sampling. It discusses the usage of an online survey with both closed and open-ended questions, as well as two focus group conversations. These strategies were used to collect data and quantify the participants' perceptions.* *Results: According to the abstract, the qualitative and quantitative data analyses demonstrated that Lebanese EFL students have positive opinions regarding incorporating AI into a morphology course. It also emphasizes the importance of teaching teachers and students in how to successfully employ AI technologies. The findings indicate that AI can be an effective teaching tool in EFL higher education programs.* *As a result, the abstract includes a brief explanation of the study's objectives (EFL students), methodology (mixed-method design, online survey, focus group talks), and findings (positive views, need for training).*	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. (Some instances)
1-perceptions on (of) integrating AI in a morphology course, an o (Abstract)
2-Stament of the problem:
-at the LU Saida (Add an article)

-5th Branch in the southern campus (on)
Sample Selection
-sampling technique based on **the (their)** willingness to participate
-a foreign language (Capitalize the proper noun)

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
Yes, they are explained clearly.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
They are clear	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
The summary and conclusions are true and solidly backed. Nonetheless, it would be beneficial to offer more detailed suggestions in light of the study's conclusions.	

7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
Please follow the latest version of APA referencing style	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

-There are a few spelling and grammar issues in the article. It is advised that you proofread the entire document thoroughly and fix these mistakes.
-While the abstract provides a clear explanation of the objectives and methods, more detailed information regarding the study design and data collection techniques should be included in the article's body.
- Think about giving more details regarding the study's shortcomings and prospective implication for future investigation.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: