

Paper: "Facteurs associés à l'Utilisation de la Contraception Moderne Chez les Femmes en Union au Burundi: Tendances et changements de 1987 à 2017"

Submitted: 15 March 2024 Accepted: 10 June 2024 Published: 30 June 2024

Corresponding Author: Pierre Itangishaka

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n17p45

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Sidiki Kaba

Université Général Lansana Conté de Sonfonia-Conakry, Guinée

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 25/04/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 28/04/2024
-	Utilisation de la Contraception Moderne di: Tendances et changements de 1987 à
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0353/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the	author of the paper: NON
You approve, your name as a reviewe history" of the paper:	r of this paper, is available in the "review
You approve, this review report is paper: YES	available in the "review history" of the

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- 1. Revoir le recodage des variables dont les modalités ont un effectif trés faible et reprendre la modélisation
- 2. Revoir l'interpretation des résultats : OR <1 et OR>1
- 3. La comparabilité temporelle pause problème pour des variables qui ont des données manquentes au cours de deux périodes (niveau de vie par exemple)
- 4. Revoir les références qui ne figure nulle part dans le texte

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 25/05/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 09/05/2024	
*	Utilisation de la Contraception Moderne di: Tendances et changements de 1987 à	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0353/24		
You agree your name is revealed to the	author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:		
You approve, this review report is availa	ble in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4,5
(Please insert your comments)	

2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4	
(Please insert your comments)		
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3	
L'un des auteurs, celui qui a écrit la partie « discussion », a d'erreurs grammaticales.	accumulé un peu plus	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3	
Oui, mais les pondérations des données ne sont pas mentionnées dans la méthodologie, ce qui est essentielle pour l'utilisation des toutes données EDS/MICS.		
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3	
Oui, mais l'interprétation est un tout petit peu redondante.		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4	
(Please insert your comments)		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2	
(Please insert your comments) Il y a des références mentionnées dans la bibliographie alors mentionnées EN amont dans le corps du travail.	qu'elles ne sont pas	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il est important de mentionner les pondérations si cela a été effectuées. Et si cela n'a pas été effectué, il vous est recommandé de pondérer vos données avant de les utiliser. Et pour effectuer des analyses des régressions logistiques en prenant en compte les pondérations, il est demandé d'utiliser la régression logistique avec la méthode « d'échantillon complexe (complex Sample) ». Seule cette méthode pourrait rendre vos résultats valides. Les DHS Programme suggères ces méthodes des pondérations pour faire des régressions avec les données EDS. Il vous est donc demander de suivre cette méthodologie pour rendre vos résultats valables.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Sidiki Kaba		
University/Country: Université Génér Guinée.	al Lansana Conté de Sonfonia-Conakry,	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/04/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/05/2024	
Manuscript Title: Facteurs associés à l'Uti Femmes en Union au Burundi: Tendances e	lisation de la Contraception Moderne Chez les et changements de 1987 à 2017	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0353/24		
You agree your name is revealed to the	author of the paper: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:		
You approve, this review report is paper: YES	available in the "review history" of the	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
(Voir récommandation)	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	2
(A réprendre)	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	1
(A reprendre)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
(Révoir le texte)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
(Texte à améliorer)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	1
(A reprendre)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: