

Paper: “Effectiveness of Gamified Cooperation and Competition in Blended Learning Environment for EFL Business Writing in TVET”

Submitted: 07 April 2024

Accepted: 10 June 2024

Published: 30 June 2024

Corresponding Author: Khalid A. Mohamed

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n17p107](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n17p107)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nino Kemertelidze
Grigol Robakidze University, Georgia

Reviewer 2: Saltanat Meiramova
S.Seifullin AgroTechnical University, Kazakhstan

Reviewer 3: Vanya Katsarska
National Military University, Bulgaria

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and reflect the aim of the author to provide a research of how gamified blended learning environment could foster EFL writing skills among TVET trainees in Saudi Arabia.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract provides clearly the object, methods and results. The author compared three distinct learning strategies achieved through gamification design in a blended delivery mode to show which serve more successfully to build strong business writing skills revealed in findings.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are some which did not affect on the content of the article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are explained clearly and in details by using graphic explanations and proved experimentally.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes, it is.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and concisely explicit the results of the findings.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes, it is.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and adequate to the content of the article. Moreover, the author touches on an interesting problem. It's no secret anymore that gamification can significantly enhance our e-learning experience by strategically incorporating game elements to make learning more engaging and motivating. By integrating features such as points, badges, and leaderboards into online courses, gamification transforms dull, passive learning into an interactive and stimulating process. While gamification does not directly impact learning outcomes, it encourages behaviors that facilitate learning through mediating or moderating processes. These learner behaviors can often be predicted based on how individuals perceive, understand, and utilize information. The author rightly notes that "Blended learning capitalizes on the strengths of both online and face-to-face instruction, providing a well-rounded educational experience."

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract effectively meets the required criteria, providing a comprehensive summary of the paper's content. It clearly presents the fundamental issues discussed in detail within the article and includes key elements of the conclusion. As a result, readers can grasp the core topics and findings of the paper just by reading the abstract.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The paper is well-written, demonstrating a strong command of the English language. The author appears to have a solid understanding of linguistic constructions.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Excellent work. The most impressive aspect of the study is that it was conducted using a true experimental research method. Selecting 45 trainees and analyzing their results is no easy task, but the author executed it effectively. The experiment is thoroughly detailed and supported by appropriate examples and illustrations. By systematically examining the outcomes of each experimental group, the author offers

evidence-based recommendations for optimizing training program designs and enhancing learning outcomes in the targeted context. The comparative analysis conducted by the author is also highly impressive.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The structure of the paper is truly noteworthy. Each section (introduction, methods, results, discussion, conclusion) is logically connected to the next, creating a cohesive flow. Well-crafted illustrations support and reinforce the key ideas and the experimental section. The research paper includes all necessary components and meets the established criteria.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

In the conclusion, the author clearly articulates the study's findings. Although brief, it is thorough and comprehensive. Before the conclusion, the author presents the results, followed by an engaging discussion. To achieve the primary goal of the research, the main question was divided into six sub-questions and four hypotheses, each analyzed uniquely and originally.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The bibliography is notably extensive, featuring contemporary researchers and including 30 references, which is quite acceptable. The references are organized in alphabetical order.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Thank you for writing such an interesting and important paper.

Reviewer E:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is quite long and complex; it contains abbreviations. While it is descriptive and includes some necessary components, it may benefit from some simplification for readability and clarity.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is well-written, effectively summarizing the study's objectives, methods, results, and implications, requiring no further revisions.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few minor language errors but they do not interfere with the smooth reading of the article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained clearly. The description of the research design, participant selection, data collection, and analysis procedures ensures comprehensibility and replicability.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the article is clear and logical. The research questions are answered, with clear connections drawn between the findings and the study's objectives.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is rather short. However, it is accurate. The key conclusions are drawn from the Discussion section and they briefly summarize the key findings of the article.

The conclusion could benefit from including suggestions for future research, providing direction for subsequent studies in this area.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and up-to-date.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
