

Paper: “**Balanced Scorecard : Optimisation de la Performance dans le Secteur Public Marocain**”

Submitted: 13 April 2024

Accepted: 11 June 2024

Published: 30 June 2024

Corresponding Author: Sabhi Rajae

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n16p174](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n16p174)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mahamat Alhadji
University of Maroua, Cameroon

Reviewer 2: Hanan Elmouaddine
Hassan II University, Casablanca, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Sonkeng Germain
University of Dschang/IUT-FV of Bandjoun, Cameroon

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|--|---|
| Reviewer Name: MAHAMAT ALHADJI | |
| University/Country: GAROUA/CAMEROON | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 9 MAI 2024 | Date Review Report Submitted: 13 MAI 2024 |
| Manuscript Title: Balanced Scorecard : Optimisation de la Performance dans le Secteur Public Marocain | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0458/24 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| Questions | Rating Result |
|-----------|---------------|
|-----------|---------------|

| | |
|--|---------------------------|
| | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |
| 2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. | 1 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé ne clarifie pas bien les objectifs et les résultats | |
| 3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Juste quelques coquilles | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats ne sont pas clairement présentés | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 1 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> A REVOIR COMPLETEMENT LES REFERENCES | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reject | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

SUJET TRES INTERESSANT ET ACTUEL. LA METHODOLOGIE EST A CLARIFIER DAVANTAGE. LES RESULTATS DOIVENT ETRE TRES EXPLICITES. LA DISCUSSION EST AUSSI A REVOIR (cf. LES CORRECTIONS DANS LE TEXTE. LES REFERENCES A REVOIR EGALEMENT

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|--|--|
| Reviewer Name: Hanan EL MOUADDINE | |
| University/Country: Rabat, Morocco. | |
| Date Manuscript Received: May,2024 | Date Review Report Submitted: May,2024 |
| Manuscript Title: Balanced Scorecard: Performance Optimization in the Moroccan Public Sector | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4.5 |
| <i>Yes, it is. It accurately reflects the purpose of the article.</i> | |
| 2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. | 4.5 |
| <i>Ye, it does</i> | |
| 3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4.5 |
| <i>The grammar and spelling rules are diligently respected.</i> | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 5 |
| <i>Yes, they are.</i> | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 4 |
| <i>Yes, they are.</i> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 5 |
| <i>Yes, they are.</i> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 5 |
| <i>Yes, they are.</i> | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reject | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

You have a tremendous amount of knowledge, talent, and potential.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|---|
| Date Manuscript Received: 09/05/2024 | Date Review Report Submitted: 16/05/2024 |
| Manuscript Title: Balanced Scorecard : Optimisation de la Performance dans le Secteur Public Marocain | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0458/24 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|---|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |

| | |
|---|----------|
| Le titre est compréhensible et reflète suffisamment le contenu de l'article | |
| 2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé doit également indiquer brièvement les méthodes/outils de collecte et d'analyse des données | |
| 3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Revoir les coquilles qui persistent | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Il faudrait apporter des précisions quant à la méthode de collecte et d'analyse des données | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont perceptibles. Cependant, il faudrait y apporter davantage de précisions | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Certains auteurs ne figurent pas encore à la bibliographie. Faire apparaître à la bibliographie tous les auteurs cités à l'intérieur du texte. | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les parties du texte en couleur rouge restent à corriger. Après lesdites corrections, vous supprimez tous les commentaires relatifs aux suggestions qui vos ont été faites, ainsi que les parties barrées qui interfèrent dans le texte.