

Paper: “Evaluation de l’ampleur de la détresse psychologique chez les étudiants primo-inscrits à Sfax, Tunisie”

Submitted: 03 April 2024

Accepted: 01 July 2024

Published: 31 July 2024

Corresponding Author: Azi Kammoun Safia

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n19p160](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n19p160)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Bi Tchan Guillaume Dje
Université Félix Houphouët Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Kolotcholoma Issouf Soro
Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly, Côte d’Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 30-04.2024	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <i>Facteurs associés à la détresse psychologique: Étude exploratoire auprès d'un échantillon d'étudiants primo-inscrits à Sfax, Tunisie</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. Le titre n'est pas clair. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	3
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
2. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. Le texte comporte quelques fautes de grammaire. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	3
3. The study methods are explained clearly. La méthodologie est lacunaire. Les auteurs gagneraient à expliquer en quoi cette étude est transversale d'une part. Et d'autre part de	2

choisir entre une recherche descriptive ou une recherche explicative. Quelle est la technique d'échantillonnage qui a été utilisé?	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The results are clear and do not contain errors. . Il ne semble pas être en lien avec l' objectif annoncé.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. <i>Revoir la mise en forme</i>	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: DJE Bi Tchan Guillaume	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët Boigny/Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 30 avril 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 21 mai 2024
Manuscript Title: Facteurs associés à la détresse psychologique : Étude exploratoire auprès d'un échantillon d'étudiants primo-inscrits à Sfax, Tunisie	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Oui	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Les auteurs ont embrassé trop de facteurs sans en indiquer les arguments.)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	2
<i>(Les objectifs et la méthode manquent de clarté. Conséquemment, les résultats ne sont pas exposés dans le but de répondre à la préoccupation de l'étude, qui de connaître les facteurs de la détresse chez les étudiants)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Le texte contient beaucoup de fautes de grammaire, d'orthographe et de style)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2

<i>(La méthodologie n'est pas édifiante: absence d'arguments pour le choix des participants ; absence d'arguments pour le choix des instruments de mesure. Les auteurs mentionnent une étude transversale, sans indiquer les tranches d'âges sur lesquels ont porté les mesures.)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Les résultats exposés n'ont pas une ossature précise. Cela se comprend, dans la mesure où les objectifs manquent de précision.)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>(Les conclusions ne reflètent pas ce que l'on est en droit d'attendre de l'étude)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Références bibliographiques abondantes, mais insuffisamment exploitées.)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Texte à reprendre en profondeur en vue de répondre aux canons d'un article scientifique.

Consultez les observations dans les marges du manuscrit. Des modifications ont été apportées au texte (elles sont surlignées en jaune).

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: SORO KOLOTCHOLOMA ISSOUF	
University/Country: UNIVERSITE PELEFORO GON COULIBALY/ COTE D'IVOIRE	
Date Manuscript Received: 21-05-2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 25-05-2024
Manuscript Title: Evaluation de l'ampleur de la détresse psychologique chez les étudiants primo-inscrits à Sfax, Tunisie	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: OUI	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	3
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
Evitez le style télégraphique. Il faut utiliser des connecteurs logiques pour relier les différentes parties du résumé. Vous n'avez pas besoin de mentionner les titres des différentes parties telles que : objectif, méthodes, résultats...	

3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
L'auteur doit revoir le niveau de langue qui nous paraît faible: Style télégraphique, phrases longues et complexes, carence de connecteurs logiques	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Sur la forme : On note l'usage de style télégraphique, des répétitions de mots, des phrases longues et complexes, une juxtaposition d'auteurs ou de travaux...

Sur le fond : l'introduction ne comporte pas d'annonce de plan. La problématique très faible et simpliste. Elle ne décrit pas la détresse psychologique, variable dépendante, ses déterminants en se référant à la littérature. Elle ne définit pas ou du moins ne met pas en lien les variables indépendantes de l'étude avec la détresse psychologique. En d'autres termes, on en sait très peu sur les variables de l'étude et l'intérêt qu'il y a à les étudier. De même, le problème paraît abstrait. Il faut partir de vos préenquêtes pour l'évoquer.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: