

Paper: "Influence des Paramètres Climatiques sur l'Incidence de la COVID-19 de Mars 2020 à Décembre 2022 dans la Région de Niamey au Niger"

Submitted: 16 April 2024 Accepted: 27 June 2024 Published: 31 July 2024

Corresponding Author: Idrissa Emoud Tcholi

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n21p20

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sanni Babio Laboratoire d'Etudes des Dynamiques Urbaine et Régionale /DGAT/FLASH/UAC ; Cotonou – BENIN

Reviewer 2: Christian Ngomenzey Université de Yaoundé II SOA, Congo Reviewer B: Recommendation: See Comments The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. YES No comment The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. YES However, the abstract must be presented in block There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. YES No comment The study METHODS are explained clearly. YES No comment The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. YES No comment The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. YES No comment The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. No comment Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

### Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

#### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le résumé doit être présenté en un seul bloc. le document doit être paginé pour faciliter la lecture

\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

In the abstract, the result suggests a link between average wind speed and morbidity. However, in the conclusion the emphasis is on humidity.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

no, It doesn't

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Compréhensive and actual

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

# Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

# Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3

## Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Don't present the same results in two ways

\_\_\_\_\_