

Paper: "Intra-Abdominal Metastasis After Pelvic Primary Synovial Sarcoma

Resection: Case Report"

Submitted: 26 April 2024 Accepted: 29 July 2024 Published: 31 July 2024

Corresponding Author: Khalid Jamaleddine

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n21p141

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Waqar Ahmed Johns Hopkins University, USA

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

```
Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
Yes
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

Overall Recommendation!!!

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper focuses on synovial sarcoma, a rare and aggressive malignant soft tissue sarcoma predominantly affecting young adults, with 90% of cases occurring in the extremities but also reported in other sites like the abdomen and pelvis. Despite adequate local disease control, up to 40% of patients develop distant metastasis. The aim of the article is to provide an update on synovial sarcoma, emphasizing early management and surveillance, and to encourage standardized management practices. The paper presents a case of a 78-year-old female who, ten years after being operated on for pelvic synovial sarcoma, presented with a metastatic intra-abdominal mass. The conclusion highlights the importance of recognizing primary intra-abdominal tumors and the likelihood of delayed metastasis in synovial sarcoma, which should inform the frequency and duration of follow-up imaging.

Thank you for your submission on the critical topic of synovial sarcoma. After reviewing the paper, we find that the paper addresses significant aspects of this rare and aggressive tumour, especially the importance of early management and long-term surveillance.

However, we believe that the paper would benefit from some revisions to enhance clarity and impact. Specifically, we recommend the following:

- 1. The spelling of hematogenous needs to be corrected in introduction
- 2. The spelling of vomiting need to be corrected
- 3. Figure 6,7 and 8 can be combined in to 1 figure. Authors need to show better image resolution and should mark the regions of the sarcoma
- 4. Authors need to show the immunohistochemical staining's for AML, BCL2, EMA, CD99 and CKAE1/AE2
- 5. Authors need to put in the details of the chemotherapy and the course of management.
- 6. The first five paragraphs of discussion should be put in introduction. This is all introduction not discussion

| Reviewer C: |
|--|
| Recommendation: Accept Submission |
| |
| The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. |

Yes it is

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Good presentation

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I don't think so

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes

| The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. Yes The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Yes to make it easy for the learner Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|
| Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 3 |
| Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 |
| Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 |
| Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 |
| Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 3 |
| Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 |
| Overall Recommendation!!! Accepted, minor revision needed |
| Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Maybe a comparison with other cases or discussion if there is other simular cases published |
| Reviewer D: Recommendation: Revisions Required |
| The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. Yes. The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. |

Yes. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. The study METHODS are explained clearly. No. A moderate revision has been advised in this regard. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. Yes. The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. No. A moderate revision has been advised in this regard. The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Yes. Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3 Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 2 Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

2

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
