

Paper: “A Socio-Cultural Analysis of Users’ Intentions to Use Facemask in the Post Covid-19 Era in Nigeria”

Submitted: 04 June 2024

Accepted: 09 August 2024

Published: 31 August 2024

Corresponding Author: Mojisola Bolarinwa

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n24p53

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Jay Molino

Universidad Especializada de las Américas (UDELAS), Panama

Reviewer 2: S. Sendil Velan

Dr. M.G.R. Educational and Research Institute, India

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and fits well with the article's content. It accurately reflects the study's focus on facemask usage intentions in Nigeria after COVID-19.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract effectively summarizes the study's goals, methods, and main results. However, it could be improved by adding more specific practical recommendations based on the findings.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The language used in the paper is generally clear and professional. There are a few areas where clarity and conciseness could be improved, but these do not significantly impact the overall readability.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are clearly explained. The hypotheses, data collection, and research design are well-detailed, and the statistical analysis is appropriately conducted.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The main body of the paper is clear and well-organized. It presents the background, methods, results, and discussion logically. Some minor formatting issues and areas for more concise writing need attention.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion accurately summarizes the study's findings and is supported by the content. It provides a clear overview of the implications, though it could benefit from more specific practical recommendations.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes it is.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Abstract:

Include practical implications and specific recommendations for facemask manufacturers and public health policymakers.

Language:

Revise sentences to be more concise. For example, replace "The intention to use the facemask during the COVID-19 pandemic was more compelling through enforcement rather than the individual's will" with "During the COVID-19 pandemic, enforcement, rather than individual choice, drove facemask usage."

Avoid redundancy. For instance, instead of "measuring the continuous usage of facemasks using the extended unified theory of acceptance and use of technology," simplify to "using the extended UTAUT to measure continuous facemask usage."

Methods:

Ensure that the description of statistical methods, such as the use of SPSS 15 for regression and collinearity diagnostics, is precise and clear.

Body:

Ensure consistent formatting of tables and figures. Align columns properly and use clear headings in Table 2 and Table 3.

Simplify complex sentences. For example, change "The social influence of an individual by other users remains low and is unaffected by their behavioural intention to use the facemask" to "Social influence has little effect on individuals' intention to use facemasks."

Conclusion:

Add specific recommendations for facemask manufacturers. For instance, "Manufacturers should design facemasks that are easy to use and aesthetically pleasing to enhance user adoption."

Include public health policy recommendations, such as "Public health campaigns should emphasize the health benefits and social acceptability of wearing facemasks."

References:

Ensure that all references are correctly formatted according to the journal's guidelines.

Reviewer D:

Recommendation: Decline Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

no

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

yes but need more analysis to confirm the conclusion

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

yes see comments

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. What basis 417 samples taken
2. regression analysis is a very basic linear model, which will not give any meaningful results.
3. The conclusion is very old, as I have seen in several manuscripts.
4. keywords are very basic not reflecting the authors core words
5. Now there is no need or compulsion of mask how the author confirm the data taken is correct.

Reviewer F:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

oui

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

oui

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

non

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

oui

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

oui

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

oui

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

oui

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

un article intéressant mérite d'être publié

Reviewer G:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Good!

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The socio-cultural analysis of users' intentions to use facemask in the post Covid-19 era was studied in this work. The facilitating conditions and hedonic motivation are two key factors for this topic. The work is interesting for the community. The work could be accepted!

Reviewer H:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No Error

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The following things might be discussed:

- 1) Face mask can be produced using woven or non-woven fabric. Different finishes can also be applied. Which one is more acceptable to people?
- 2) In developing countries, people may reuse face mask several times after washing. How many people do this? Should they do it? The degradation of performance of a face mask in this case can also be discussed.
