

Paper: "The Impact of Transportation on The Avocado Market in Tanzania"

Submitted: 27 July 2024 Accepted: 20 August 2024 Published: 31 August 2024

Corresponding Author: Tumaini Steven

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n22p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Róbert Szűcs

University of Debrecen, Hungary

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer C: Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title "The Impact of Transportation on The Avocado Market in Tanzania" accurately reflects the content of the article, which thoroughly explores how transportation affects the avocado market, including logistics, road infrastructure, and the overall market dynamics within Tanzania.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract effectively outlines the objectives of the study, the methods employed (such as data collection from 505 farmers and the use of statistical tests), and a summary of key findings, including the influence of transportation on market access and product quality. However, it could be slightly improved by providing a more detailed summary of the results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The article contains several grammatical errors, such as missing commas and incorrect verb forms, which slightly detract from the overall readability. While these errors do not severely hinder understanding, they do affect the professional quality of the writing.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The article provides a comprehensive explanation of the study's methodology, including the sampling techniques, data collection, and the statistical analyses performed. The explanation is detailed and allows for reproducibility, but some sections, like the justification for specific statistical tests, could be more explicitly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The results section is well-organized and clearly presents the findings of the study. The use of tables and statistical analysis effectively supports the claims made, and there are no apparent errors in the presentation of data.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions drawn in the article are well-supported by the data presented. The summary encapsulates the critical findings and aligns well with the objectives and results discussed throughout the paper, providing actionable recommendations for improving transportation infrastructure in Tanzania.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references cited in the article are relevant and cover a wide range of sources, including recent studies and relevant statistical data. However, a few references could be updated or supplemented with more recent literature to strengthen the academic rigor of the paper.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 5 Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 **Overall Recommendation!!!** Accepted, no revision needed **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** The article is strong in its structure and content but could benefit from minor improvements in language clarity. Reviewer D: Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title explains clearly the content

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear and rich of details

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. no grammatical errors

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

It's explained cleary and appropriate to the behavior under consideration

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The central part of the paper is fluid and clear

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are appropriate and several

```
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, no revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
```