

Paper: “**Forces et faiblesses de la planification stratégique de l’éducation en Côte d’Ivoire**”

Submitted: 19 July 2024

Accepted: 20 August 2024

Published: 31 August 2024

Corresponding Author: Koffi Affoué Philomène

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n22p166](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n22p166)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Rania Mohamed
Hassan University of Montreal, Canada

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 9-8-2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 13-8-2024
Manuscript Title: Forces et faiblesses de la planification stratégique de l'éducation en Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0810/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le titre est court et précis.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>- Le résumé récapitule les différentes parties de l'étude.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Il y a des erreurs grammaticales et orthographiques. Besoin d'une révision!</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>La méthodologie est bien expliquée.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Les résultats sont clairs.</i>	

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>La conclusion récapitule maintenant les différentes parties de l'étude.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>Besoin d'une révision! Besoin d'une mise à jour. La référence la plus récente est en 2022. C'est-à-dire depuis deux ans!</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: