

Paper: “Diversité et cartographie des ligneux le long des grandes artères de la ville de Brazzaville : cas des arrondissements 1 Makélékélé, 2 Bacongo et 4 Mougali, République du Congo”

Submitted: 07 October 2023

Accepted: 23 August 2024

Published: 31 August 2024

Corresponding Author: Saint Fédriche Ndzai

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n24p209

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Maximenne Amontcha
Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Anderson Yao
Université Felix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Adéréwa AMONTCHA YABI	
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi	
Date Manuscript Received: 09 juillet 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 13 juillet 2024
Manuscript Title: Diversité et cartographie des ligneux le long des grandes artères de la ville de Brazzaville : cas des arrondissement 1 Makélékélé, 2 Baongo et 4 Mougali, République du Congo	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 13.07.2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Oui	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Oui	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Oui	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

De nombreuses fautes de grammaire, de syntaxe, de conjugaison et d'orthographe ont été soulignées dans le manuscrit	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
La méthodologie de recherche nécessite une petite touche de restructuration	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Quelques défauts de sens ont été soulignés dans la présentation des résultats et nécessitent correction	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Prendre en compte les observations et recommandations mentionnées dans le manuscrit pour améliorer sa qualité

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: