

Paper: "Désarticulation de la hanche secondaire à une gangrène du membre pelvien droit : A propos d'un cas au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire la renaissance de Ndjamena"

Submitted: 23 July 2024

Accepted: 25 September 2024 Published: 30 September 2024

Corresponding Author: Magloire Dingamnodji

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n27p129

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Pierre Alfred Issa Mapouka

Université de Bangui, République Centrafricaine

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Kouandongui Francky

Université de Bangui, Faculté des Sciences de la Santé, Central African Republic

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ISSA MAPOUKA Pierre	
Alfred	
University/Country: Université de Bangui/ République Centrafricaine	
Date Manuscript Received: 12.08.2024	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Désarticulation de hanche secodaire à une gangrene du membre pelvien droit au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire la renaissance de Ndjaména	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0815/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review	
history" of the paper: yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:	
yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5
	[Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Le titre tel que libelé porte à croire qu'il s'agit d'une série de désarticulation de	
hanche alors qu'il s'agit que d'un seul cas clinique	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
Le résumé concorde avec le travail.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling	2
mistakes in this article.	2
Il y a beaucoup de fautes d'orthographe et de formulation	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4

L'observation a été clairement expliquée.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
Le commentaire est bien fait par rapport au résultat obtenu	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
La conclusion a été partielle et ne concernait que les tradipalisacent doit être mis sur la sensibilization de la population.	*
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Le nombre de références est acceptable pour un cas clinique références sont très anciennes; il faut les actualizer.	es mais certaines

$\label{eq:overall Recommendation} \textbf{(mark an } X \textbf{ with your recommendation)} :$

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Les auteurs doivent prendre en compte toutes les observations faites et corriger toutes les fautes.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:		
KOUANDONGUI BANGUE SONGROU		
Francky		
University/Country: Université de Bangui, Faculté des Sciences de la Santé		
Date Manuscript Received: 05-09-2024	Date Review Report Submitted:	
	10-09-2014	
Manuscript Title: Désarticulation de hanche secondaire à une gangrène du membre		
pelvien droit au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire la renaissance de Ndjamena		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 081524		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review		
history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the		
paper: Yes		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
insert your comments)	
Incomplete title	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	02
(Please insert your comments) All steps are not followed, no object, no method and results	

3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	01	
(Please insert your comments)		
Many grammatical errors and spelling mistakes		
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	04	
(Please insert your comments)		
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	03	
(Please insert your comments)		
Many errors, uncommented figures		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and	02	
supported by the content.	02	
(Please insert your comments)		
raise awareness among the population and road users		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	04	
(Please insert your comments)	_	
yes		

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	·
Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	XXXXX
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Travail d'actualité, intéressant

Titre incomplet : compléter par à propos d'un cas Résumé : tenir compte des différentes étapes Trop de fautes d'orthographe et de syntaxe

Beaucoup d'images non identifiées et non commentées

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: