

Paper: **“Profil de la Transition Sanitaire en République du Congo”**

Submitted: 19 August 2024

Accepted: 20 September 2024

Published: 30 September 2024

Submitted: 19 August 2024

Accepted: 20 September 2024

Published: 30 September 2024

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n27p255](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n27p255)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kouakou Justin Koffi

Université Alassane Ouattara, Cote d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Blaise Nguendo Yongsi

Institut de Formation et de Recherche Démographiques (IFORD), Cameroun

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 29/08/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/09/2024
Manuscript Title: PROFIL DE LA TRANSITION SANITAIRE EN REPUBLIQUE DU CONGO	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: NON	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. TITRE EST COMMENT	2,5
<i>(Please insert your comments) L'espace d'étude est très vaste (CONGO). Il faut reformuler le titre et réduire son espace d'étude.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments) Le résumé comporte légèrement l'objectif. Il devrait avoir quelques chiffres qui résument les travaux de recherche sur la transition des maladies.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments) DES FAUTES D'ORTHOGRAPHE</i>	

- Accent aigu sur le (e) de republique, Quelles facteurs (quels), Aux molécules commercialisés (ées), A cela (à cela), Que Ebola (qu'Ebola), A l'autre (à), A partir (à), Mêmes (même) reste invariable, transmissible (s), Evolution (é), aux complexe (s), les maladies transmissible est aussi observée (transmissibles sont observés), congolais (C) africain (A), deux-tiers (deux tiers), cardio-vasculaires (cardiovasculaires), certain (certains) A ce titre (à ce titre), aux situation (situations), A l'inverse (à), *La fréquence du surpoids et de l'obésité augmentait*(il faut accorder le verbe,, institutionnalisés (il faut enlever le s), la viandes (revoir le mot et l'accord), les concours de beauté tels que (revoir tels), 16,49% était (revoir le verbe était) amplifiée (ce participe passé a pour sujet decalage, pas de (e)), de la surcharge pondérales (surcharge et pondérales sont du même genre et nombre)

NB: Après chaque point la lettre (A) doit prendre un accent.

Il y a aussi beaucoup de point (...) à la fin de certaines phrases. Je propose soit (etc.)

FAUTES GRAMMATICALES

Sur la carte 1(il faut une virgule après 1)

Ces adolescents citadins ne sont donc pas seulement de futurs adultes (une virgule après adultes),

à la maison pour manger (il faut une virgule)

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Il peut aussi procéder par des enquêtes dans une region, un département ou une ville pour consolider le travail. J'ai l'impression que tout le résultat est basé sur les revues des autres. Faire une étude comparée avant d'affirmer tout ce qu'il dit.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> acceptables	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Je propose qu'il précise la pagination des références, Il y' a des idées qui n'ont pas de références.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le travail est bien fait mais qu'il prenne en compte mes corrections.

Les phrases sont trop longues. Une seule phrase peut comporter 3 à 4 lignes.

Je propose qu'il fasse des sujets, verbe et complément.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: July 2024	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: PROFIL DE LA TRANSITION SANITAIRE EN REPUBLIQUE DU CONGO	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 33	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
<i>The author has followed the appropriated IMRAD format</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>The manuscript is well written.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>However, the author could improve by providing some recommendations.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	YES
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: