EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Paper: "Effet-classe sur les performances des écoliers de 6e année primaire en République Démocratique du Congo en mathématiques"

YEARS

Submitted: 12 June 2023 Accepted: 05 September 2024 Published: 30 September 2024

Corresponding Author: Homer Lifulu

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n25p141

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Adil Bouyahya Centre Régional des Métiers d'Education et de Formation, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Benie Aloh Jean Martial Hillarion Université Félix Houphouët Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: BOUYAH	YA Adil		
University/Country:	CRMEF -Fès-Maroc		
Date Manuscript Received:	23/06/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:	
		29/06/2023	
Manuscript Title: Effet-classe sur les performances des écoliers de 6 ^e année			
primaire en République Démocratique du Congo			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0657/23			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review			
history" of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the			
paper: Yes/No			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

~ [Excultion content of the article. 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. 3 Le titre est en relation limpide et adaptée au contenu de l'article. 3 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and 4	r] 1-5 ellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.3Le titre est en relation limpide et adaptée au contenu de l'article.2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	ellent]
the article. 3 Le titre est en relation limpide et adaptée au contenu de l'article. 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	
the article. Le titre est en relation limpide et adaptée au contenu de l'article. 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	
results. 3	
Sommairement, le résumé de l'article reprend le sujet la méthode	et les résultats
de la recherche.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling 2	
mistakes in this article.	

déjà marquées).

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
Un peu trop, il serait plus perspicace d'accorder plus d	e place au commentaire
des résultats.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
Les finalités de la recherche sont bonnes, toutefois, elles	demeurent un peu trop
timides.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and	3
supported by the content.	3
Tout à fait, la conclusion synthétise la teneur de la rech	erche.
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
Les références semblent véridiques, néanmoins, elles son	t mal exploitées.
(Certains passages de références sont marqués à titre ind	icatif).

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Bonne investigation et une méthodologie intéressante, toutefois, il faudrait étayer votre démonstation.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: BENIE ALOH JEAN			
MARTIAL HILLARION			
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët Boigny / Institut Pédagogique			
National de l'Enseignement Technique et Professionnel (Côte d'Ivoire)			
Date Manuscript Received: 23/06/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:		
-	03/07/2023		
Manuscript Title: Effet-classe sur les performances des écoliers de 6e année			
primaire en République Démocratique du Congo			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0657/23			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review			
history" of the paper: Yes			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the			
paper: Yes			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5
	[Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the	5
article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	4
results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes	4
in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4

(Please insert your comments)		
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.4		
(Please insert your comments)		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and	5	
supported by the content.	5	
(Please insert your comments)		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4	
(Please insert your comments)		

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'auteur a intégré l'ensemble des observations que l'évaluateur avait fait.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: