

Paper: “Évaluation du niveau de consommation des fruits et légumes chez les étudiants de l’Université Felix Houphouët-Boigny de Côte d’Ivoire en 2018”

Submitted: 05 March 2024

Accepted: 21 October 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Corresponding Author: Anon Franck-Donald N’Guessan

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n30p52](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n30p52)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdou Souley Roukaya
Uniuersité Abdou Moumouni de Niamey, Niger

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ABDOU SOULEY Roukaya	
University/Country: Uniuersité Abdou Moumouni de Niamey	
Date Manuscript Received: 13/09/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 20/09/2024
Manuscript Title: Évaluation du niveau de la consommation des fruits et légumes chez les étudiants de l'Université Felix Houphouët-Boigny	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(The title is very clear and it is adequate to the content.)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
<i>(It would be interesting to specify certain information on the methodology, such as the size of the sample and the results must be quantified in order to better assess the level of consumption of these fruits and vegetables)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3

<i>(There are a few rare errors in scientific vocabulary and especially in the writing of bibliographical references that the author will have to take into account in the correction of the manuscript.)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(There are a few wording errors that need to be worked on)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(There are also comments on how to present the results without introductory sentences)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(No comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(there are clerical errors in some references that should be corrected in the manuscript))</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I congratulate the research team for the relevance of their theme and the quality of the manuscript presented, and I hope that my observations will contribute to the improvement of the quality of the manuscript.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

I thank you for the trust you have placed in me.