

Paper: “Variabilité hydrométrique et leur relation avec la pluviométrie dans le département du Mono entre 1960 et 2019, Benin (Afrique de l’Ouest)”

Submitted: 31 August 2024

Accepted: 21 October 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Corresponding Author: Faustin Ogou

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n30p106](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n30p106)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Hassan Ouakhir

Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, Béni Mellal, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Hassan Lemacha

Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Maroc

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Pr. Hassan LEMACHA	
University/Country: Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 25-09-2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 05-10-2024
Manuscript Title: "VARIABILITÉ HYDROMÉTRIQUE ET LEUR RELATION AVEC LA PLUVIOMÉTRIE DANS LE DÉPARTEMENT DU MONO ENTRE 1960 ET 2019, BENIN (AFRIQUE DE L'OUEST)"	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0961-24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	5
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	5
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	3
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. Des mots clés ne figurant pas dans le résumé ;
2. Nous avons ajouté d'autres mots clés ;
3. Des corrections sont marquées en rouge et bleu et jaune (ajouter supprimer ajouter) ;
4. Center et souligner les titres des figures ;
5. Ajoutez la référence de la carte de la figure 1;
6. Remplacez 2.3 par 2.2 (page 5) ;
7. Ajoutez des references récentes 2022, 2023, 2024) ;

Bonne chance

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: