

Paper: “Inclusion and Integration of Youth Needs in Youth Empowerment Programmes: A Mixed-Method Study of Nairobi and Trans Nzoia Counties”

Submitted: 11 September 2024

Accepted: 25 October 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Corresponding Author: Catherine Mbugua

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n29p29](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n29p29)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Stefan Vladutescu
University of Craiova, Romania

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

you might revise the title to: Inclusion and Integration of Youth Needs in Youth Empowerment Programs: A Mixed-Method Study of Nairobi and Trans Nzoia Counties. This highlights the comprehensive approach of your research.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear, but it needs a little revision. The author did not mention the analysis method in the abstract, which would provide the reader with a clearer understanding.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The paper needs to be revised due to numerous grammatical mistakes.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology is not clear. The paragraph lacks details on the quantitative analysis, specifically the use of the Likert scale, and does not mention the qualitative analysis method, such as thematic analysis. It would be helpful to clarify whether thematic analysis was conducted manually or with software.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

overall paper format is good.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The study contains several grammatical errors and lacks clarity in some sections. For example, “do not a have” should be “do not have,” and “such access to finance” should be “such as access to finance.” Additionally, the methodology section could benefit from more detailed explanations.

Ensure that the terminology used is consistent throughout the conclusion to avoid confusion.

Overall, the conclusion provides a solid foundation but would benefit from these revisions to enhance its clarity and effectiveness.

Also Add recommendations for future researchers.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are generally clear, but there are a few issues that need to be addressed. Firstly, ensure that all references follow a consistent format. For example, some entries have a period after the year, while others do not. Additionally, there is a duplicate numbering issue with reference 11, which appears twice and could cause confusion. Lastly, some references, such as number 11, are incomplete and need to be fully detailed. Addressing these points will improve the clarity and professionalism of your reference list.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the manuscript reflects its content.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract correctly retains the objective, theme, method of investigation and research results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

It would be good for the manuscript to be read by a native English speaker.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The method used is appropriate to the topic approached.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The results are relevant.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions of the manuscript are based on the investigation carried out.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

It is necessary to update the bibliography with 2-4 relevant works from 2023, 2024.

It is required that these references be from the area of Africa, Europe, USA, etc.,

because the bibliography used seems to be from a single area. ESJ has permeability all over the world.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This manuscript needs to be completed with a "Future research" section. It is necessary to update the bibliography with 2-4 relevant works from 2023, 2024. It is required that these references be from the area of Africa, Europe, USA, etc., because the bibliography used seems to be from a single area. ESJ has permeability all over the world.
