

Paper: “The Impact of Aligning Kolb’s Experiential Learning Theory with a Comprehensive Teacher Education Model on Preservice Teachers’ Attitudes and Teaching Practice”

Submitted: 02 August 2024

Accepted: 25 October 2024

Published: 31 October 2024

Corresponding Author: Karima Mechouat

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n28p135](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n28p135)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mahammad A. Nurmamedov
Shamakhi Astrophysical Observatory of the Ministry Science and Education of
Republic Azerbaijan

Reviewer 2: Anita Mandarić Vukušić
University of Split, Croatia

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title "The Impact of Aligning Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory with a Comprehensive Teacher Education Model on Pre-service Teachers' Attitudes and Teaching Practice" is adequate correlated with the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes as "the raining year", or "pee-teaching", " thje ".

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is without errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion supports content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Not every author from the references is included in the text or vice versa.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It is very nice research paper, very well written and interesting research. But each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title satisfactory , but is no shot

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The Abstract is clearly written and include method and results of paper

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

So -so not found strongly grammatical errors

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, the study methods are explained clearly and dived by sections which is consists of question and its answers investigations form

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes the body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors but lenth of paper (wolume more than therefore editorial office must decided)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

conclusionis is also clearly and contains all conent section questions

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of Refferences is satisfactory but if no deficult for author can be add others similarly method of .C. Kendall conserning statistical methods :

which is :

in references idicated : "Conrad, D., & Hedin, D. (1990). Learning from service. In J.C. Kendall and associates (Eds.), Combining service and learning: A Resource book for community and public service. Vol1. Raleigh, N.C: National Society for internships and experiential education."

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

dear author again carefully check paper style
