

**Paper: “The Impact of SPAC Mergers on Financial Performance and Growth:
Evidence from the Italian Market”**

Submitted: 26 September 2024

Accepted: 30 October 2024

Published: 30 November 2024

Corresponding Author: Domenico Piatti

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n31p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n31p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Foldi Kata
University of Debrecen, Hungary

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the article is correct and related to content.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract contains objects, methods and main results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are not grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

But I think on 16th page in the under mentioned part sentences the window word should be changed „Six windows were analyzed”, „windows indicates that”

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The manuscript methods are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

On 16th page in the under mentioned part sentences the window word should be changed „Six windows were analyzed”, „windows indicates that”

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

I confirm that the TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

I confirm that the ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The English language requires merely minor corrections.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

I confirm that the study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

I confirm that the body of the paper is accurate and without significant errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

I confirm that the section towards concluding remarks is clear and in line with the whole content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

I confirm that the references list is suitable and the documentation is appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dear Esteemed Author(s),

Please find attached the Review Report covering several suggestions and recommendations.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 30 September 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 1 October 2024
Manuscript Title: The Impact of SPAC Mergers on Financial Performance and Growth: Evidence from the Italian Market	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1028/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(The paper is well written, the objectives are clear and the analysis too.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The abstract is exactly what is supposed to be. Clear, concise and informative.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>I didn't find major issues.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Yes, very clearly. Nothing peculiar in this section,</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The results are well explained and useful for Italy and other markets.</i>	

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>A very good summary and very good description reflecting the main content of the paper.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Yes, that's good.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I loved reading the paper. Interesting, topical and informative.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: