

Paper: “El potencial terapéutico del Sistema Endocannabinoide en el tratamiento del acné: revisión sistemática”

Submitted: 30 October 2024

Accepted: 22 November 2024

Published: 30 November 2024

Corresponding Author: Juan Manuel Viveros-Paredes

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2024.v20n33p19

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Manuel Gonzalez Perez
Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, Mexico

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Dr. Manuel González-Pérez	
University/Country: UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLÓGICA DE TECAMACHALCO, PUEBLA, MÉXICO (UTTECAM).	
Date Manuscript Received: Nov. 4 de 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: Nov. 4 2024
Manuscript Title: Sistema Endocannabinoide y su potencial terapéutico para el tratamiento del acné	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1125/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Faltó una mayor información del contenido en el título (faltan sintagmas)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
<i>Bien</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Revisar abstract en inglés, tiene algunas faltas de corrección y estilo.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Hay redundancia.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Falta un buen orden.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion está muy ligera.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Excelente.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La disertación está muy bien. En lo personal no comparto la misma idea, el canabidol para mí solo afecta en forma media a los neurotransmisores.

Falta un estudio exhaustivo en todo el metabolismo que ustedes proponen.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 05 nov 24	Date Review Report Submitted: 18 nov 24
Manuscript Title: Sistema Endocannabinoide y su potencial terapéutico para el tratamiento del acné	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1125/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>El titulo es adecuado para el contenido del articulo.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>No hay errores</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>La metodologia podria mejorar si los autores la amplian tomado en cuenta como la describen en el Abstract</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>El texto del articulo es claro</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Las concluiones estan acorde con el contenido del articulo</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Las referencias con adecuadas.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Atender algunos comentarios en el texto de document.