

Paper: “Facteurs associés à la positivité de la COVID-19 chez les patients dans les districts sanitaires de Cocody-Bingerville et Marcory Treichville en 2021”

Submitted: 20 August 2024

Accepted: 17 December 2024

Published: 31 December 2024

Corresponding Author: Pulchérie Gouzile

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n36p107](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n36p107)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ignace Coovi Nonwanou Tokpanoude

Université d’Abomey- Association des médecins communautaire du Bénin

Reviewer 2: Ousmane S.

Direction Générale de la Santé et de l’Hygiène Publique Bamako, Mali

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre est clair sans ambiguïté

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Juste quelques erreur à rectifier

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Quelques erreurs a corrigera par la revue

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

A restructurer

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Voir le manuscrit revu

NB: la régression logistique n'apparait pas dans les résultats tel qu'énoncer dans l'analyse des données

Discuter l'ensemble des principaux résultats

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

a revoir

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

RAS

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the paper.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly. Please review the type of sampling

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The bibliographic style is not respected, please refer to the authors' guide

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

In the methodology section, the sampling is exhaustive, so it is not necessary to carry out a sampling calculation
