

Paper: “La gestion adaptative comme approche pour améliorer la performance des projets de développement rural au Cameroun”

Submitted: 23 September 2024

Accepted: 11 December 2024

Published: 31 December 2024

Corresponding Author: Hubert Bessi Aboganina

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n34p129](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n34p129)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Oumar Bah
Sup' Management, Mali

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the title is appropriate and effective in communicating the main themes of the article. It encourages the reader's curiosity and interest while being in line with the content covered, which is crucial for an academic publication.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The summary presents the objectives, methodology and results clearly and concisely, but it would be beneficial to specify in the methodology section how many articles were consulted and how this information was used to reinforce the credibility of the results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The content of the article is relevant but contains minor grammatical and spelling errors that make it difficult to read. For example, the expression 'the study approach is explanatory' could be reworded as 'the study has an explanatory approach'. In addition, the incorrect use of 'partant, de contribuer' should be simplified to 'contribuant à'. Other errors include the absence of a link in 'Disparities between cities and rural areas are increasing' and 'in urban areas has fallen from 12.2% to 10.8%, a drop of 1.4 points', which needs to be reworded for clarity. Careful revision is required.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The absence of quantitative data raises questions about the robustness of the conclusions (such as the number of articles consulted and the number of participants interviewed). In addition, the criteria for selecting participants for observation are not specified, which may introduce a bias and affect the representativeness of the results. Finally, the methodology would have benefited from triangulation of the data to strengthen the validity of the findings and provide an in-depth understanding of the subject studied. but a critical analysis of the limits of the adaptive approach would also be enriching, including situations where this approach might not be appropriate.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the text is clear and well structured, making it easy to understand the issues involved in managing rural development projects in Cameroon. The ideas are presented in a coherent and fluid manner, with no apparent errors, which reinforces the credibility of the arguments presented.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion of the article is precise and well articulated, faithfully reflecting the issues and results of the study. It summarises the main points discussed and highlights the importance of adaptive management in improving the performance of rural development projects in Cameroon, supported by relevant literature.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is exhaustive and well presented. All works cited in the text appear in the list, and vice versa. However, please check that each citation is correctly formatted according to the chosen style. I suggest you use ZOTERO or mendeley to unify the style, for example in APA7 edition form.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Your article makes a convincing case for the importance of adaptive management in improving the performance of rural development projects in Cameroon. However, one suggestion to strengthen the methodology would be to include concrete case studies illustrating the successful application of adaptive management in existing projects in other countries and to specify the number of articles consulted or even consulted more than 20 articles to have a relevant basis. This would provide practical examples and lessons learned, making your arguments more tangible. In addition, in the references section, it would be beneficial to standardise the style

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre est en adéquation avec le contenu

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé présente l'objectif, les méthodes et les résultats obtenus

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Il y a des erreurs de grammaire et d'orthographe qu'il faille corriger

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthodologie du style descriptive est clairement présentée

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Les résultats sont adaptés et bien présentés

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion et le résumé sont bien à propos

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La bibliographie est appropriée

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les auteurs doivent bien corriger les erreurs de grammaire et d'orthographe.
