

Paper: “Facteurs Contextuels et Individuels de la Fécondité des femmes en union âgées de 15 à 49 ans au Burundi”

Submitted: 01 October 2024

Accepted: 11 December 2024

Published: 31 December 2024

Corresponding Author: Singoye Emmanuel

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n35p83](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n35p83)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sidiki Kaba
Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Sidiki KABA	
University/Country: Centre de Recherche de l'Institut de l'Université Paris 1 Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/10/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 28/10/2024
Manuscript Title: Facteurs Contextuels et Individuels de la Fécondité au Burundi	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1039/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Utilise plutôt la formulation suivante)</i> Facteurs individuels et contextuels de la fécondité des femmes en âge de procréer au Burundi	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> A reprendre en se référant à la norme de la revue	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Plusieurs phrases sont à reformuler.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthode n'est pas très claire et ne devait pas comporter des résultats d'analyse. Elle ne respecte pas la norme de la revue.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont mal présentés par endroit avec des erreurs d'interprétation des coefficients. La discussion des résultats n'est pas du tout bonne. Elle est à reprendre carrément.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> A reprendre	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> A reprendre	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 26/10/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 08/10/2023
Manuscript Title: Facteurs Contextuels et Individuels de la Fécondité au Burundi	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 1039/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3,5
<i>Il y a lieu d'améliorer ce titre et de le rendre plus explicite. Pour une thématique aussi classique que l'explication de la fécondité, vous pouvez rajouter plus d'information dans votre sujet. De la manière dont vous l'avez formulé, il ressemble plus au titre d'un livre que d'article classique.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
<i>Il y a possibilité d'améliorer le résumé en réduisant le contexte et en prenant en compte les quelques commentaires ajoutés dans votre papier.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4,5
<i>Les commentaires sont ajoutés dans votre papier</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Les commentaires sont ajoutés dans votre papier</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,5

<i>Les commentaires sont ajoutés dans votre papier</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2,5
<i>La partie « Discussion » a une forte tendance à viser la cohérence des résultats aux travaux antérieurs plutôt que d'interroger les causes pouvant expliquer les résultats trouvés. Vous avez bien fait de mettre vos résultats en perspective avec la littérature existante, mais il est d'autant plus important de donner aux lecteurs des raisons scientifiques qui rendent vos résultats valides et acceptables. Des plus amples commentaires à ce sujet figurent dans le papier.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4,5
<i>Dans le corps de votre papier, je vous prie de penser à distinguer les auteurs qui ont publié plusieurs articles au cours de la même année. Vous pouvez ajouter une lettre de l'alphabet pour le faire (« a » et « b ».... sont les plus couramment utilisés).</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

X

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

X

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 24/10/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 11/11/2024
Manuscript Title: Facteurs Contextuels et Individuels de la Fécondité au Burundi	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1039/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>L'étude s'est concentrée sur les femmes en unions. On peut le mentionner dans le titre</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>Les contraintes d'utilisation de la méthode d'analyse n'ont pas été spécifiées</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3

<i>Il y a des résultats qui nécessitent plus d'attention. On a fait mention dans le texte (des agricultrices qui ont moins d'enfants que les cadres).</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>Approfondir la discussion des résultats</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: