

Paper: “Dynamique d’occupation des sols et perception paysanne au Sud-ouest du Niger : Cas du bassin versant du Kori Ouallam”

Submitted: 13 November 2024

Accepted: 19 December 2024

Published: 31 December 2024

Corresponding Author: Salifou Noma Adamou

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2024.v20n35p163](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2024.v20n35p163)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Timothée Miyouna

Université Marien NGOUABI, République du Congo

Reviewer 2: Brahima Kone

Université Felix Houphouët-Boigny, Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The need minors corrections

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract should be rewrite. The objects and the results of the study are not clearly present.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Authors need to correct mistakes and improve the quality of their French. It is poor for a scientific article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, the methods are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The manuscript contains numerous instances of poor syntax that require correction. Additionally, some sentences are phrased in a way that is unclear and, at times, impenetrable.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes, the conclusion is accurate and supported by the body of the manuscript. But authors must improve the french language.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes, the references are appropriate

See my suggestions in the manuscript

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

See manuscript

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

le titre est en adéquation avec le document

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé nécessite une révision mineure mais présente la méthodologie et les résultats obtenus

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Mineurs

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Les méthodes sont clairement expliquées mais nécessitent une synthétisation

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Des erreurs mineures

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion et le résumé sont des parties essentielles qui attirent plus les lecteurs ces deux parties nécessitent la prise en compte de la révision

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Les auteurs cités dans le texte sont dans la liste de la référence

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les auteurs doivent prendre en compte les révisions recommandés
