



Paper: “Tri phytochimique et activité antioxydante in vitro des extraits de *Bombax brevicuspe* (Sprague) Roberty (Malvaceae) une plante médicinale utilisée dans le traitement de la fistule obstétricale en Côte d'Ivoire”

Submitted: 16 June 2023

Accepted: 04 January 2025

Published: 31 January 2025

Corresponding Author: Lébri Marius

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n3p127

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kouame Kouassi Bernadin
Université Nangui Abrogoua, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Kouadio Yao Jean-Clovis
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 11/12/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 17/12/2024
Manuscript Title: Tri phytochimique et activité antioxydante <i>in vitro</i> des extraits de <i>Bombax brevicuspe</i> (Sprague) Roberty(Malvaceae) une plante médicinale utilisée dans le traitement de la fistule obstétricale en Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0836/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: NO	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Je ne vois pas ;le lien entre les radicaux libres et la fistule</i>	

2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
<i>Il y a une confusion de plante dans le résumé</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>Beaucoup de fautes dans le manuscrit</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>acceptable</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Les graphiques ne sont pas bien présentés, les contenus de la figure 2 et 3 ne sont pas compréhensibles</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>acceptable</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>acceptable</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Relire tout le document pour une correction des fautes gramaticales et l'utilisation des références recentes.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: KOUADIO Yao Jean-Clovis	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny/Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 20/12/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/12/2024
Manuscript Title: Tri phytochimique et activité antioxydante <i>in vitro</i> des extraits de <i>Bombax brevicuspe</i> (Sprague) Roberty(Malvaceae) une plante médicinale utilisée dans le traitement de la fistule obstétricale en Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0836/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	--

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Le titre est bon et est conforme au contenu du document	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>Le résumé fait le point du contexte de l'étude, les objectifs, la méthodologie utilisée en enfin les résultats. Il est donc conforme à mes attentes</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Toutes les fautes de grammaires et d'orthographe identifiées ont été corrigées. Les auteurs pourront faire le constate en suivant le suivi de correction.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>oui</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont clairs	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La conclusion comporte les résultats saillants attendus	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Toutes les références citées dans le texte ont été listées dans la section liste des références</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reliser le texte pour s'assurer qu'il ne contient plus le nom de l'espèce *E. angolense* vu que le travail porte sur *Bombax brevicuspe*