

Paper: **“Pratiques pastorales et embroussaillement du pâturage par unité structurale en zone soudanienne : la région de Bouna au Nord-Est de la Côte d’Ivoire”**

Submitted: 03 November 2024

Accepted: 21 January 2025

Published: 31 January 2025

Corresponding Author: Kambire Sambi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2025.v21n2p80](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2025.v21n2p80)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sylla Daouda

Université Félix Houphouët Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Aka Giscard Adou

Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé, Daloa, Côte d’Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: SYLLA Daouda	
University/Country: Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 07 Décembre 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 14 Décembre 2024
Manuscript Title: Pratiques pastorales et embroussaillement du pâturage par unité structurale en zone soudanienne : la région de Bouna au Nord-Est de la Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1135/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	2
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	3
4. The study methods are explained clearly. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	3

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

I suggest the Editor to accept this article. Nevertheless, the author must have first proceeded to revisions mentioned in the document.

Cordially

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ADOU Aka Giscard	
University/Country: Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 07 Décembre 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 16 Décembre 2024
Manuscript Title: Pratiques pastorales et embroussaillement du pâturage par unité structurale en zone soudanienne : la région de Bouna au Nord-Est de la Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 8.---35.11.2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(The title is original and clear, but little sudden and simple addition (see tapuscript))</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	2,5
<i>(The introduction needs to be revised in some places. The objectives are very clear. However, there are methodological shortcomings that need to be taken into account)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4

<i>(The article is well written without grammatical errors)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2,5
<i>(there are a few shortcomings that the author must consider (see tapuscript))</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(The results are acceptable. However, there are a few flaws to correct)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(All the authors mentioned in the text are recorded in the bibliography)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Tous les auteurs cites dans le texte sont consignés dans le bibliographie)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The discussion of each purpose of the article lacks sufficient authorship to give weight to the article. The author must work to correct this in order to lend credibility to his discussion.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: