



Paper: “Navigating Research Trends in Support and Stigma: A Bibliometric Analysis and Future Research Agenda”

Submitted: 18 November 2024

Accepted: 22 January 2025

Published: 31 January 2025

Corresponding Author: Johana Hajdini

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2025.v21n1p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2025.v21n1p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Robert Szucs
University of Debrecen, Hungary

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title "Navigating Research Trends in Support and Stigma: A Bibliometric Analysis and Future Research Agenda" clearly outlines the scope and methodology of the article. It aligns well with the content that discusses research trends and patterns in stigma-related literature using bibliometric analysis.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract efficiently summarizes the objectives, the method of bibliometric analysis of 257 articles, and key findings, which include identifying main research directions and the growing interest in the domain. It provides a concise overview of what the study entails and its implications.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The document does not exhibit noticeable grammatical or spelling errors, indicating careful editing and proofreading.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods section describes the bibliometric analysis approach and the PRISMA framework for literature selection comprehensively. It details the search parameters and selection process, ensuring replicability. The description of using VOSviewer for network visualization adds to the clarity, although a bit more detail on the specific analytical metrics used could enhance understanding.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The results section clearly presents findings from the bibliometric analysis, supported by descriptive statistics and visual data representations. It logically categorizes research into distinct clusters with detailed exploration of each, showing clear, error-free reporting.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions drawn are well-supported by the data presented, summarizing the multidimensionality of stigma and calling for future research directions. The article effectively ties the findings back to the objectives stated initially, providing a coherent summary of insights.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The reference list is extensive and relevant, citing seminal works and recent studies that frame the bibliometric analysis. This comprehensive referencing not only supports the study's findings but also situates the paper within the larger discourse on stigma and support in healthcare.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Overall, this scientific article demonstrates a high level of scholarly rigor and clear communication of its research process and findings, making it an excellent contribution to the literature on stigma and support.
