

**Paper: “Les techniques de communication dans l’offre informelle des soins de santé sexuelle en milieu urbain camerounais : de la déconstruction des tabous à la banalisation de la maladie”**

**Submitted: 17 April 2024**

**Accepted: 19 February 2025**

**Published: 28 February 2025**

Corresponding Author: Nana Claudin Karim

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n4p133

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Wadja John

Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny, Ivory Coast

Reviewer 2: Gnamien Konan Bah

Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé de Daloa, Ivory Coast

Reviewer 3: Blinded

### ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: WADJA JEAN BILE	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny	
Date Manuscript Received: 15/07/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/07/2024
Manuscript Title: Offre informelle des soins en santé sexuelle en milieu urbain camerounais: du tabou aux thérapies à ciel ouvert	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 0506/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

#### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3</b>
L'étude ne couvre pas tout le territoire camerounais	
<b>2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Quelques fautes d'orthographe</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>

Revoir la méthode (commentaire est fait dans le texte)	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
-L'ordonnancement des résultats ne correspond pas à la méthodologie (IMRAD); -Mettre en relief les caractéristiques des 2 catégories d'entrepreneur à savoir les vendeurs dans les cars et ceux sur les étales; - Absence de verbatim pour la partie portant sur le circuit d'offre de soins et de médicaments; - Typologie des patients souffrant de problèmes de sexualité.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2</b>
A revoir selon les commentaires dans le texte	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
Revoir les références selon les normes APA	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le tabou autour de la sexualité est un élément déstructurant du couple. En parler, permet de lever le voile sur les conflits qui désorganisent les couples. Je vous propose de lire davantage sur le sujet et nous produire des résultats complets sur cette étude.

### **ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024**

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: GNAMIEN MODESTE	
University/Country: Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé de Daloa	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/07/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 07/08/2024
Manuscript Title: <b>Offre informelle des soins de santé sexuelle en milieu urbain Camerounais : du tabou aux thérapies à ciel ouvert</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: [ESJ] Article Review Request 0506/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI	

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
<b>2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.</b> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
<b>3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Perspectives de recherche complémentaire à insérer.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Certains auteurs cités ne figurent pas dans les références.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Article Bien rédigé, mais revoir la numérotation, surtout dans la partie 3 « Stratégie et communication. » et s'assurer que tous les auteurs cités sont répertoriés dans la rubrique Bibliographie.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Merci pour la confiance