

Paper: “Stéréotypes liés à l’insertion professionnelle des femmes ingénieures dans les métiers de l’ingénierie en Côte d’Ivoire et stratégies anthropiques : entre capacitation et capital social”

Submitted: 06 November 2024

Accepted: 12 February 2025

Published: 28 February 2025

Corresponding Author: Koffi Amon Anick Joceline

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n5p121

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ignace Adou Ayekoe
Institut National d’Hygiène Publique (INHP), Ivory Coast

Reviewer 2: Diarra Yah Malick
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Ivory Coast

Reviewer 3: Mamam Toleba
Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Ir. Mamam S. TOLÉBA	
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi (Bénin).	
Date Manuscript Received: 07.12.24	Date Review Report Submitted: 23.12.24
Manuscript Title: Stratégies d'insertion professionnelle des femmes ingénieures dans les métiers de l'ingénierie en Côte d'Ivoire : entre capacitation et capital social	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---45.11.2024---	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Toujours prévoir un titre en version anglaise !	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Voir et tenir compte des corrections effectuées par l'Examineur ! Préciser les localités de l'étude et la période.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3,5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Une lecture silencieuse est nécessaire en vue de redresser certaines phrases dont la clarté n'est pas appréciable. Une erreur qui a été relevée plus haut doit être corrigée dans tout le texte et non seulement à l'endroit indiqué !	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Méthodologie acceptable mais peut mieux faire ! Préciser dans le texte, la période et la zone de l'étude.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Quelques coquilles dues au manque de concentration sont notées tout au long du texte. Ces genres de coquilles altèrent parfois la compréhension du récit ! Vouloir bien les revoir.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Mêmes observations que dans les chapitres précédents. Peut mieux faire !	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Bibliographie à relire avec attention. La plupart des références citées dans le papier sont à revoir, d'abord de par le mode de citation et les titres des documents, puis ensuite au niveau des paginations. Il est constaté que bon nombre d'auteurs sont cités sans être référencés dans la bibliographie, ou que des auteurs non référencés sont parachutés dans la bibliographie, sans aucun intérêt pour l'article !	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

(1) Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.

Certaines observations sont faites bien entendu dans le texte comme dans la fiche de suivi ; bien vouloir en faire une bonne application.

Bon courage aux Auteurs.

(2) Changes which must be made before publication

Les Auteurs sont priés de prendre en considération les corrections qui leur sont proposées par l'Examineur ; si possible, les améliorer.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: DIARRA Yah Malick	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny	
Date Manuscript Received: 06 décembre	Date Review Report Submitted: 17 décembre
Manuscript Title: Stratégies d'insertion professionnelle des femmes ingénieures dans les métiers de l'ingénierie en Côte d'Ivoire : entre capacitation et capital social	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1145/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
Le titre est en adéquation avec le contenu	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
Le résumé présente l'objectif de recherche, la méthode utilisée et les résultats	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Le document comporte quelques fautes de grammaires et d'orthographe	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
La conclusion et le résumé concordent	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
Références incomplètes	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: AYEKOE ADOU IGNACE	
University/Country: Institut National d'Hygiène Publique (INHP)	
Date Manuscript Received: 08 décembre 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 12 décembre 2024
Manuscript Title: Stratégies d'insertion professionnelle des femmes ingénieures dans les métiers de l'ingénierie en Côte d'Ivoire : entre capacitation et capital social	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1145/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>Le titre ne colle pas véritablement aux resultats d'étude</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	2
<i>Les observations et commentaires sont joints au document</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Quelques petites fautes d'orthographe</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2

<i>La méthode d'étude est à décrire avec le matériel d'étude pour une bonne compréhension des résultats</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Les recits ou verbatims des interviews ne figurant pas dans la partie résultats</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>Ces parties doivent être revues en fonction des résultats et de la discussion</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>Les références sont dépassées compte tenu de l'actualité du sujet</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les observations sont en suivi de commentaire dans le texte. J'ai aussi joints un document qui présente les observations faites avec des suggestions pour améliorer le travail