



Paper: “**Radionuclide diagnosis of Meckel's diverticulum: A case report**”

Submitted: 23 November 2024

Accepted: 25 February 2025

Published: 31 March 2025

Corresponding Author: Esilda Trushaj

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n9p15

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Joseph Eze
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Shilan Hussein Karim
University of Sulaimani, College of medicine, Iraq

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is quite adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents the objectives, method and results. The background was also presented in the abstract.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I did not observe any spelling mistakes and grammatical errors

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are well explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is properly written and does not contain errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate though not indicated in-text.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors did a very nice work

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Not too much

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods is enough for this study.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

No

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

By a case review cant conclude that this test have very high specificity and sensitivity without large numbers of cases and statistical analysis.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Satisfying

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed