



Paper: "Nutrition Quality of the Child Feeds and Child Feeding Practices of Children Aged Between 6 and 24 Months in Marsabit County, 2023"

Submitted: 19 November 2024 Accepted: 18 February 2025 Published: 31 March 2025

Corresponding Author: Rose Chepkorir

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n9p23

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mamadou Saidou Bah Gaston Berger University, Senegal

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A: Recommendation: See Comments
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
I just suggest adding the year of the study in the title, this would improve the referencing of the article.
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
OK
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
N/A
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
YES
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
Minor errors
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
YES
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
YES
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
```

Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, minor revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Reviewer B: Recommendation: Resubmit for Review
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
THE TITLE IS UNCLEAR.
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
NOT APPROPRIATELY DONE
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
YES, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF GRAMMATICAL BLUNDERS.
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
THE METHODS DO NOT ALIGN OR ANSWER THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS.
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
IT IS NOT CLEAR
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
NOT ACCURATE
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

FAIRLY ALL RIGHT

## Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

### Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

#### Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

#### Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

# Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

## Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
2
Overall Recommendation!!!
Return for major revision and resubmission
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
THIS PAPER IS WRITTEN POORLY. IT NEEDS TO BE RESUBMITTED. IT DOES NOT MERIT A PUBLICATION. IT LACKS MERIT.