



Paper: "Pratiques Reclusives Post-Patum et Sante Maternelle chez les Odzukru de Côte d'Ivoire"

Submitted: 07 October 2024 Accepted: 25 February 2025 Published: 31 March 2025

Corresponding Author: Romuald Atchory Eliasson Mel

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n9p86

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ouattara Kalilou

Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny de Cocody, Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Emoud Tcholi Idrissa

Université Abdou Moumouni of Niamey, Niger

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes. ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr OUATTARA Kalilou				
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny de Cocody/Abidjan Cote d'Ivoire				
Date Manuscript Received: 19 Décembre 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 05 Janvier 2025			
Manuscript Title: PERCEPTIONS ET PRATIQUES SOCIALES ASSOCIEES A LA MATERNITÉ DANS LE CAS DE LA RÉCLUSION CHEZ LES <i>ODZUKRU</i> EN CÔTE D'IVOIRE				
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1551.10.2024				
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI				
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:				
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: OUI				

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
(Please insert your comments)  Le titre peut être reformulé pour qu'il soit plus précis et expressif	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	•
Le résumé reflète bien le contenu du texte. Il présente bien l'objecti méthode utilisée et les résultats	if de l'étude, la
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
(Please insert your comment	-
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
(Please insert your comments) La méthodologie est claire et permet de été conduite	voir comment l'étude a
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
(Please insert your comments)  La presentation de la bibliographie à la fin du texte doit être revue selo nous avons proposées	on les consignes que

# Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	×××
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Sujet intéressant, mais vous devrez apporter des corrections notamment au niveau des sous-titres de vos résultats, et de la présentation de votre bibliographie. Faites des paragraphes au niveau de la présentation des résultats afin de faciliter la lecture.

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes. ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: EMOUD TCHOLI IDRISSA			
University/Country: Université Abdou Moumouni of Niamey			
Date Manuscript Received: 03/01/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/01/2025		
Manuscript Title: PERCEPTIONS AND SOCIAL PRACTICES ASSOCIATED WITH MATERNITY IN THE CASE OF SECLUSION AMONG THE ODZUKRU IN THE IVORY COAST			
ESJ Manuscript Number:1551.10.2024			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>YES</b>			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES			

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Quartiana	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

### 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the 4/5 article. The title of the article is clear and well formulated, offering a precise description of the object of the study. It highlights key concepts, such as social perceptions, traditional seclusion practices and geographical context (the Odzukru in Côte d'Ivoire). However, an explicit mention of the public health implications would strengthen its relevance and attract the attention of readers interested in this field. 2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. 4/5 The abstract clearly presents the objectives, methodology and main results of the study. However, it could be improved by including further details on the analysis methods and concrete examples to illustrate the results. A synthetic conclusion would also reinforce the overall impact. 3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in 4/5 this article. (*Please insert your comments*) 4. The study methods are explained clearly. 4/5 The methods are generally clear, but a few more details on the analysis techniques or on how the data were specifically interpreted could further improve clarity 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. 5/5 Overall, the results do not appear to contain any significant errors. 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by 4/5 the content. Les "effets néfastes" mentionnés pourraient être précisés. Quels sont les risques spécifiques liés aux plantes médicinales ? Quelles sont les conséquences du confinement sur la santé mentale? 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. 5/5

### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

(*Please insert your comments*)

In conclusion, your study is a valuable contribution to public health and cultural research.

Suggestions: Expand on Limitations: Addressing potential limitations of the study could strengthen the overall analysis. Discussing any biases or challenges encountered during data collection may enhance the transparency of the research.