

The 15 years white

Paper: "Facteurs pronostiques de l'accouchement du siège à la Maternité du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sylvanus Olympio de Lomé, Togo, du 1er avril 2022 au 31 mars 2023"

Submitted: 23 December 2024 Accepted: 06 March 2025 Published: 31 March 2025

Corresponding Author: Baguilane Douaguibe

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n9p132

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Hamidou Soumana Diaouga Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey, Niger

Reviewer 2: Soumah Aboubacar Fode Momo Service de Gynecologie Obstetrique, Ignace Deen Chu Conakry, Guinee

**Reviewer 3: Blinded** 

Reviewer A: Recommendation: Revisions Required

\_\_\_\_\_

## The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, but add study type and year

## The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

## There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, there are a lot of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes

#### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

## The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Some inquiries must be claryfied

## The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

No

## The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

## Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

# Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

# Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

## Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

## Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

## Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

#### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** 

Voir pièce jointe

-----

# **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: SOUMAH ABOUBACAR FODE MOMO	Email: aboubacarfodemomo@gmail.com
University/Country: Gamal Abdel Nasser Conakry	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title:	

ESJ Manuscript Number:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

# **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(Please insert your comments) FACTEURS PRONOSTIQUES DE L'ACCOUCHEMENT MATERNITE DU CENTRE HOSPITALIER UNIVERS OLYMPIO DE <del>LOME,</del> TOGO année.	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	
(lease insert your comments) Sur les résultats, je prefère la proportion au lieu de la prevalence	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
(Please insert your comments) Yes	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
(Please insert your comments) Yes	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
(Please insert your comments)	

Refaire

7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
(Please insert your comments)	
Yes	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	