

Paper: “Meiji Restoration and Modernization: The Role of Intellectuals in The Context of Gramsci's Theory of Hegemony and Counter-Hegemony”

Submitted: 25 February 2025

Accepted: 18 April 2025

Published: 30 April 2025

Corresponding Author: Yasemin Yazici

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n11p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Edona Ilukaçaj

Beder University College, Western Balkans University, Albania

Reviewer 2: Ömür Yanar

Kahramanmaraş Sutcu Imam University, Türkiye

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Edona Llukacaj PhD	
University/Country: Beder University College, Western Balkans University, ALBANIA	
Date Manuscript Received: March 23, 2025	Date Review Report Submitted: March 26, 2025
Manuscript Title: Meiji Restoration And Modernization: The Role Of Intellectuals In The Context Of Gramsci's Theory Of Hegemony And Counter-Hegemony	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 22.03.2025(1)	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is encompassing and adequate for this article	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
The Abstract incorporates all the necessary information. It is well-structured and the Key Words are well-elected. However, it is too long and detailed and a more concise form would be more appropriate.	

3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(There are some grammatical errors in the manuscript. Most of them have been highlighted in the attached review. Special attention should be paid to the use of passive voice instead of “we” to refer to the author. It is also essential to have quotation marks, apostrophes etc. in uniformity all over the article. A review on behalf of the author is advisable.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>The method/ approach for the analysis is clearly defined.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The body of the article is fluent and enlightening. However, it might be necessary to provide the English translation of all the movements that have been mentioned and provide some insight on their activity to achieve the modernization/westernization that has been mentioned.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The conclusion is adequate and compliant with the points made by the author in the article. However, the first paragraph of the conclusion might be elaborated in the body – as it is not directly touched upon - for increased understanding on behalf of the reader.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>The references are quite inclusive. However, it is advisable to include in the references and refer in the manuscript directly to A. Gramsci’s work, not solely to his references in other works, as the concepts and theoretical approach the authors primarily rely upon belong to this scholar.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This is an interesting analysis that requires a final review before being published. The article could be enhanced by:

- referring to the need and reasons for modernization in the body, not solely in the first paragraph of the conclusion;
- referring directly to Gramsci’s work
- shortening the abstract to about 250 words

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ömür YANAR	
University/Country: Kahramanmaraş Sutcu Imam University/Türkiye	
Date Manuscript Received: 24.03.2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 26.03.2025
Manuscript Title: Meiji Restoration and Modernization: The Role of Intellectuals in the Context of Gramsci's Theory of Hegemony and Counter-Hegemony	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is well-defined and accurately represents the article's content.	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
The abstract provides an overview of the objects, methods, and findings.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
This article contains some grammatical errors and spelling mistakes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The study methods are presented in a straightforward and easy-to-understand way.	

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
The results are explicit and error-free.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
The conclusions and summary are clear, accurate, and strongly supported by the content.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
The references are thorough and relevant.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

A number of grammatical and spelling mistakes have been identified in this article. To correct them, please review again.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article adequately expresses the limits of fact, space and time required by scientific conventions. Therefore, the definition of the subject, the expression of the basic problem and the assumptions of the article are sufficient. In addition, the sources related to the subject of the study are sufficient in terms of both quality and quantity. The language used in the article is clear and unambiguous. In this respect, it is appropriate to publish the article as it will contribute to the related field.