

Paper: “Colectomie: indications et résultats dans un hôpital de référence du Niger”

Submitted: 28 February 2025

Accepted: 29 April 2025

Published: 30 April 2025

Corresponding Author: Boka Tounga Yahouza

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n12p205

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Choua Ouchemi

Service de Chirurgie générale, CHU de Référence Nationale, N'Djaména (CHU-RN)

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title "Colectomie, indications et résultats à l'hôpital général de référence de Niamey" is clear and adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes, the ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, there are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, the study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes, the body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes, the list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Pour certaines données descriptives un tableau aurait été plus lisible que l'écriture de nombre et pourcentages des cas. Il faut associer et agréger les tableaux qui repètent plusieurs fois certaines informations. Orienter et placer des flèches indicatives sur les images des pièces opératoires.

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

not good

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

a lot of mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

must be improved

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

a lot of errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

not good

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

must cite references according to Vancouver style

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

must respect and follow instructions of the authors first
