



Paper: “Visual Attention Distribution According to Size, Color, and Spatial Location of Stimuli under Foveal and Peripheral Vision Conditions”

Submitted: 03 April 2025

Accepted: 15 May 2025

Published: 31 May 2025

Corresponding Author: Manana Khomeriki

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n15p22

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Marion Kirumba Mutwiri - Mwangi
United States International University- Africa, Kenya

Reviewer 2: Hina Masood
Foundation University Islamabad, Pakistan

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Marion Kirumba Mutwiri - Mwangi	
University/Country: United States International University- Africa / Kenya	
Date Manuscript Received: 15/4/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 24/4/2025
Manuscript Title: Attention Distribution according to the Size, Color, and Location of Stimuli in the Visual Space.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0451/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>The title is slightly different from the aim of the study, the authors can consider harmonizing the title and the aim of their study.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
<i>The methods are not clearly stated in the abstract; they are mentioned in passing.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>None, there are hardly any grammar errors and spelling mistakes. However, there is need to organize the paragraphs better.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3

<i>This section is rather technical, wondering if there is a simpler way to help the leader follow better with clarity.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>The result are clear, however, the authors could have presented the finding based on each aspect: size, color and location from the experiment with and without text to enhance clarity,</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Yes. However, the application of the study is unclear.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>No, there is need to edit the first page of the references. Secondly, majority of the references are very old with a few on 2005 to 2024.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Ensure the target audience are able to follow the discussion with ease.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Hina Masood	
University/Country: Foundation University Islamabad, Pakistan	
Date Manuscript Received: 08-04-2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 11-04-2025
Manuscript Title: Attention Distribution according to the Size, Color, and Location of Stimuli in the Visual Space	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0451/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
Yes The title " <i>Attention Distribution according to the Size, Color, and Location of Stimuli in the Visual Space</i> " is indeed clear and precise — it gives a strong sense of what the study or paper is about. It suggests a systematic exploration of how different visual features affect attention, which is great for catching the interest of readers in cognitive science, psychology, neuroscience, or visual design.	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
1. The method part is missing in the abstract (No. of participants, age range, study design etc.)	

2. Please add significance values in your abstract, so it's easy for readers to understand the concept very well.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Proofreading is needed.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
Needs minor corrections. The study involved 40 volunteers of both sexes, aged 20 to 40 (mean age \pm 32), The article provides limited information about the demographic characteristics of the sample (gender distribution, age distribution,) Please include a demographic table in your result section	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
Each table should be elaborated.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
Needs further improvement. 1. More discussion on previous studies 2. Addressing Limitations 3. Suggestions for future researchers How many participants were included in the study? Were they representative of a broader population? Could the findings be generalized to other groups (e.g., different ages, cultures, or individuals with visual impairments)? Did you examine interactions between size, color, and location? Could there be confounding or synergistic effects between these variables?	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Needs further improvement. (appropriate referencing, Font, Font size, reference style)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

With some improvements in methodology, results, conclusion and references, this research has the potential to have an even greater impact in the field of cognitive science.