



Paper: "Morbidity and Mortality in Rectal Surgery: A Study of 25 Cases in Casablanca"

Submitted: 03 December 2024

Accepted: 12 May 2025 Published: 31 May 2025

Corresponding Author: Belbsir Mohamed

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n15p36

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Choua Ouchemi Service de Chirurgie générale, CHU de Référence Nationale, N'Djaména (CHU-RN)

Reviewer 2: Mohhammed Omer Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, Hawler Medical University Reviewer B: Recommendation: Accept Submission The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. Yes, the TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. Yes, the ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. We did not report grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. The study METHODS are explained clearly. Yes, the study METHODS are explained clearly. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. Yes, the body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. Yes, the CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. No, the list of REFERENCES is comprehensive but not appropriate: authors have to write it according to the author's guidelines for the references. Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3 Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please refer to author's guidelines for references for writing them.

.....

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

its better to add in Casablanka

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Well written-needs few linguistic corrections

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

yes, to be revised

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The sample size is small

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

clear

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Better to specify the complications

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

the references are;

- 1. old references
- 2. they are not written in a unified form
- 3, the References number 1,2,3 not contain the year of publications
- 4. more references should be added, specially the local nearby country and Arabian similar works
- 5. All references should be written in a proper systematic way

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 3 Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 3 Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

Overall Recommendation!!!

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

congratulation for the works , the article need revision you did not mention anything about the neoadjuvant chemotherapy and their detail the mean should be completed with _+SD (standered deviation)... howmuch was the smallest age of the patient , mention more detail Family Hx, Hx of IBD???
