



Paper: “Etat des connaissances paysannes des accessions et de la culture du soja [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] dans le sud du Niger”

Submitted: 13 March 2025

Accepted: 22 May 2025

Published: 31 May 2025

Corresponding Author: Dan Sale Saidou

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n15p175

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: A. Séraphin Zanklan
University of Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, Benin

Reviewer 2: Daouda Guebre
Université Joseph KI-ZERBO, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes.

The TITLE is clear and adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a lot of grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Unfortunately, there are many errors in the body of the paper.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

There are many REFERENCES in the text which are not included in the list of references and vice versa.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dear Authors,

Please, look very much carefully one more time at the text of your manuscript, and correct any grammatical and spelling mistakes. The references must be cited accurately in the text and well-referenced at the end of your study report. Equally, you must check if all citations in the text are presented in the list of references and vice versa.

Yours sincerely,

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, however, the region in which the study was carried out needs further clarification.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes, but the number of respondents and the survey method used need to be clarified.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

few grammatical errors, Some expressions need to be rewritten

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

yes, but they must complete the frequency units on certain figures.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

however, the first sentence needs to be reworded to avoid repetition.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Too much variability in histogram shapes and colors
