



Paper: “Violences Genrées en Milieu Scolaire au Cameroun (2015 – 2024) : Une Analyse Documentaire”

Submitted: 22 March 2025

Accepted: 22 April 2025

Published: 31 May 2025

Corresponding Author: David Ébonguè

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n14p92

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mohammed Benguitoun

Centre Régional des Métiers de l'Éducation et de la Formation de l'Oriental, Oujda, Maroc

Reviewer 2: Kbibch Abdel

Académie régionale de l'éducation et de la formation, Rabat, Maroc

Reviewer 3: Bouhsine Fellahe

Centre régional des métiers de l'éducation et de la formation Casablanca, Maroc

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Bouhsine EL FELLAH IDRISSE	
University/Country: Centre régional des métiers de l'éducation et de la formation Casablanca-Settat / Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 12/04/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/04/2025
Manuscript Title: Genre et Violences Scolaires au Cameroun (2015 – 2024): Une Analyse Documentaire.	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. Je propose une simple modification. « Violences genrées en milieu scolaire au Cameroun : Analyse documentaire des dix dernières années » (2015 – 2024)	4
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Manque de l'objectif. Il vaut mieux de citer l'objectif de la recherche au lieu de poser la question. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Mots clés à corriger, ils contiennent beaucoup de répétitions.	4

3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
Quelques fautes d'orthographe.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
Les méthodes d'étude sont expliquées clairement. La qualité et la forme du diagramme devraient être améliorées (utilisez PowerPoint ou un autre logiciel pour le réaliser).	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
Les résultats sont claires. La qualité et la forme du tableau 1 devrait être améliorée.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
La conclusion comprend un résumé de l'étude réalisée et des résultats obtenus.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revoir la référence : OCHA, 2023 ; • Références bibliographiques : revoir la mise en forme de certaines références bibliographiques (nombre de page, l'institution,...). 	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'ensemble des remarques et suggestions ci-dessous et ci-dessus amélioreront la qualité de votre travail.

1. **Résumé:**
 - Première phrase à reformuler, le mot « situation » inapproprié, vous pouvez utiliser le mot "relation".
 - Mettre l'objectif de la recherche au lieu de la question.
 - Mots clés à corriger, ils contiennent beaucoup de répétitions.
2. La qualité et la forme du diagramme et du tableau 1 devraient être améliorées.
3. Donnez la signification des abréviations: LGBTQ+, VGMS,
4. Faire la numérotation des axes.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Abdelouahed KBIBCH	
University/Country: Ibn Tofail. Morocco.	
Date Manuscript Received: 11 avr. 2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 17 avr.2025
Manuscript Title: Genre et Violences Scolaires au Cameroun (2015 – 2024) : Une Analyse Documentaire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0427/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>I propose This title : (Analyse documentaire sur le genre et les violences en milieu scolaire au Cameroun (2016 – 2024). Documentary analysis on gender and violence in schools in Cameroon (2016 – 2024))</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(the mistakes are included in the document with the corrections)</i>	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>it is better to clarify it well</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Table 1 Analysis Grid for Variables Extracted from 16 Sources The 2015 date is missing The table needs to be reorganized to make it clearer) triangulation of data collection tools refers to using more than one tool (e.g., using interviews, observations, document analysis) and your article only includes document analysis.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La triangulation est une procédure visant la validité des savoirs produits par la recherche.

Il s'agit ici d'une triangulation des outils de cueillette qui renvoie au fait de faire usage de plus d'un outil (par exemple, utiliser des entrevues, des observations, de l'analyse de documents). Encore appelée la triangulation des données (ou des méthodes), méthode qui consiste à mettre en place des dispositifs de recherche qui combinent deux ou plusieurs modes de collecte de données dans une perspective de recherche de complémentarité, de corroboration. Les modes de collecte de données combinés sont le plus fréquemment l'entretien individuel associé à l'observation. On retrouve aussi le *focus group* combiné à l'entretien individuel ou l'analyse documentaire qui suit l'entretien individuel ou de groupe. Bref, plusieurs combinaisons sont présentes.

Il est nécessaire de bien clarifier votre approche.

Bonne chance

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 02/04/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 09/04/2025
Manuscript Title: Genre et Violences Scolaires au Cameroun (2015 – 2024) : Une Analyse Documentaire. Gender and School Violence in Cameroon (2015 – 2024): A Literature Review.	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is explicit, specific, and accurately reflects the scope, theme, and time period of the study.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>The abstract clearly identifies the objectives, methods, and key findings. However, a clearer separation between these components would improve its readability.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>The manuscript is well written with good academic French. Some minor punctuation and structure issues exist but do not affect comprehension. Proofreading could still enhance clarity.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5

<i>The methodological section is thorough, detailing the documentary review strategy, inclusion criteria, and data analysis tools.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Results are well-structured in thematic matrices, with rich cross-referencing between sources. The argumentation is coherent and strongly supported by data.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Conclusions are insightful and supported by the data. However, a clearer discussion of limitations and future research directions would add value.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The bibliography is rich, up-to-date, and draws from institutional, academic, and international sources. Proper citation format is generally respected.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This is a high-quality and relevant literature review on gender-based school violence in Cameroon. The structure is rigorous, and the thematic analysis is insightful and well-supported by a diversity of credible sources. I especially commend the use of intersectionality and the reflection on institutional and regional factors.

Minor suggestions:

- Clarify the separation between objectives, methodology, and results in the abstract.
- Consider a brief section on the study's limitations and directions for future research.
- A short synthesis of key statistics in a table or box could enhance readability for non-specialist readers.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: